

On Solutions of a Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem on Time Scales

Aydin Huseynov*

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences AZ1141 Baku, Azerbaijan

Received: April 1, 2008; Revised: December 19, 2008

Abstract: We study a boundary value problem (BVP) for second order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. A condition is established that ensures existence and uniqueness of solutions to the BVP under consideration.

Keywords: time scale; delta and nabla derivatives; eigenvalue; fixed point theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 34B15.

1 Introduction

Let ${\bf T}$ be a time scale and $a,b \in {\bf T}$ be fixed points with a < b such that the time scale interval

$$(a, b) = \{t \in \mathbf{T} : a < t < b\}$$

is not empty. Throughout, all the intervals are time scale intervals. For standard notions and notations related to time scales calculus see [1, 2].

In this paper, we deal with the nonlinear boundary value problem (BVP)

$$y^{\Delta \nabla}(t) + f(t, y(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b),$$
(1)

$$y(a) = y(b) = 0.$$
 (2)

A function $y : [a,b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is called a *solution* of the BVP (1), (2) if the following conditions are satisfied:

© 2009 InforMath Publishing Group/1562-8353 (print)/1813-7385 (online)/www.e-ndst.kiev.ua 69

^{*} Corresponding author: huseynov@email.com

(a) y is continuous on [a, b] and delta differentiable on (a, b) and such that there exist (finite) limits

$$y^{\Delta}(a) := \lim_{t \to a^+} y^{\Delta}(t)$$
 and $y^{\Delta}(b) := \lim_{t \to b^-} y^{\Delta}(t).$

- (b) y^{Δ} is ∇ -differentiable on (a, b].
- (c) y satisfies equation (1) and boundary conditions (2).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose $f : [a, b] \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, f(b, 0) = 0 in the case b is left-scattered, and suppose f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(t,\xi) - f(t,\eta)| \le L |\xi - \eta|$$
 (3)

for all $t \in [a, b]$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}$, where L > 0 is a constant (Lipschitz constant), \mathbf{R} denotes the set of real numbers. Suppose further that

$$L < \lambda_1, \tag{4}$$

where λ_1 is the least positive eigenvalue of the problem

$$y^{\Delta\nabla}(t) + \lambda y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b), \tag{5}$$

$$y(a) = y(b) = 0.$$
 (6)

Then the BVP(1), (2) has a unique solution.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented in Section 2 and it uses a Hilbert space technique. In Section 3, we compute the eigenvalues of (5), (6) explicitly in the cases $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{Z}$ (the set of integers) and show that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2} \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R},$$

and

$$\lambda_1 = 4\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(b-a)} \ge \frac{8}{(b-a)^2} \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{Z}$$

Finally, in Section 4, we show that in the general case of arbitrary time scale \mathbf{T} the estimation

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{4}{(b-a)^2}$$

holds and therefore the more explicit condition of the form

$$L < \frac{4}{(b-a)^2}$$

implies condition (4) of Theorem 1.1.

70

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Denote by \mathcal{H} the Hilbert space of all real ∇ -measurable functions $y : (a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ such that y(b) = 0 in the case b is left-scattered, and that

$$\int_a^b y^2(t) \nabla t < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$\langle y,z\rangle = \int_a^b y(t)z(t)\nabla t$$

and the norm

$$\left\|y\right\| = \sqrt{\left\langle y, y\right\rangle} = \left\{\int_{a}^{b} y^{2}\left(t\right) \nabla t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next denote by \mathcal{D} the set of all functions $y \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) y is continuous on (a, b], y(b) = 0, there exists $y(a) := \lim_{t \to a^+} y(t)$ and y(a) = 0.

(ii) y is continuously Δ -differentiable on (a, b), there exist (finite) limits

$$y^{\Delta}(a) := \lim_{t \to a^+} y^{\Delta}(t)$$
 and $y^{\Delta}(b) := \lim_{t \to b^-} y^{\Delta}(t).$

(iii) y^{Δ} is ∇ -differentiable on (a, b] and $y^{\Delta \nabla} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Define the operators $A : \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ and $F : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(Ay)(t) = -y^{\Delta \nabla}(t) \quad \text{for} \quad y \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(Fy)(t) = f(t, y(t)) \quad \text{for} \quad y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that the operator A is linear, while F is nonlinear in general. The eigenvalues of problem (5), (6) coincide with the eigenvalues of the operator A.

As is shown in [3], the operator A is symmetric and positive:

$$\langle Ay, z \rangle = \langle y, Az \rangle$$
 for all $y, z \in \mathcal{D}$,
 $\langle Ay, y \rangle > 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{D}, y \neq 0$.

Further, A has $N = \dim \mathcal{H}$ (where $N \leq \infty$) orthonormal eigenfunctions φ_k which form a basis for \mathcal{H} and the corresponding eigenvalues are simple and positive:

$$A\varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k,$$

$$\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_l \rangle = 0 \text{ if } k \neq l \text{ and } \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_l \rangle = 1 \text{ if } k = l,$$

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$$

For any function $u \in \mathcal{H}$ we have (expansion formula and Parseval's equality)

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \varphi_k, \quad c_k = \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle, \qquad (7)$$

$$||u||^2 = \langle u, u \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2.$$

In the case $N = \infty$ the sum in (7) becomes an infinite series and it converges to the function u in metric of the space \mathcal{H} . Since the operator A is positive, it is invertible. We have

$$Au = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \lambda_k \varphi_k \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathcal{D},$$
$$A^{-1}u = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{c_k}{\lambda_k} \varphi_k \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathcal{H},$$

where c_k are defined in (7). Hence

$$||A^{-1}u||^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{c_k^2}{\lambda_k^2} \le \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} ||u||^2.$$

Thus we have established the following result: The operator A is invertible and

$$\left\|A^{-1}u\right\| \le \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left\|u\right\| \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(8)

The BVP (1), (2) is equivalent to the vector equation

$$Ay = Fy$$
 for $y \in \mathcal{D}$,

which can be written in the form

$$y = A^{-1}Fy$$
 for $y \in \mathcal{H}$. (9)

Note that the inverse operator A^{-1} maps \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{D} and therefore if $y \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies (9) then $y \in \mathcal{D}$. Let us set $S = A^{-1}F$. Then we get that the BVP (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation

$$y = Sy \quad (y \in \mathcal{H}).$$

The last equation is a fixed point problem.

We will use the following well-known contraction mapping theorem: Let \mathcal{H} be a Banach space and suppose that $S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a contraction mapping, i.e., there is an α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, such that $||Su - Sv|| \le \alpha ||u - v||$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. Then S has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{H} .

It will be sufficient to show that the operator $S = A^{-1}F$ is a contraction mapping on the space \mathcal{H} . We have, using (8),

$$||Su - Sv|| = ||A^{-1}Fu - A^{-1}Fv|| = ||A^{-1}(Fu - Fv)|| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} ||Fu - Fv||.$$
(10)

Next, making use of the Lipschitz condition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Fu - Fv\|^2 &= \int_a^b |f(t, u(t)) - f(t, v(t))|^2 \, \nabla t \\ &\leq L^2 \int_a^b |u(t) - v(t)|^2 \, \nabla t \\ &= L^2 \, \|u - v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$||Fu - Fv|| \le L ||u - v|| \quad \text{for all} \quad u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Thus, from (10) we obtain

$$||Su - Sv|| \le \frac{L}{\lambda_1} ||u - v||$$
 for all $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Consequently, we see that under the condition (4), S is a contraction mapping and hence it has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{H} by the contraction mapping theorem. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Remark 2.1 The condition that functions $y \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy y(b) = 0 in the case b is left-scattered guarantees the density of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} (this is needed for the operator theory) and the condition that f(b, 0) = 0 in the case b is left-scattered guarantees $Fy \in \mathcal{H}$ for $y \in \mathcal{H}$.

3 Examples

In the case $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}$, problem (1), (2) takes the form

$$y''(t) + f(t, y(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b),$$

 $y(a) = y(b) = 0,$

and eigenvalue problem (5), (6) takes the form

$$y''(t) + \lambda y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b),$$
(11)

$$y(a) = y(b) = 0.$$
 (12)

The eigenvalues of (11), (12) are

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\pi^2 k^2}{(b-a)^2}$$
 $(k = 1.2, \ldots)$

with the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions

$$\varphi_k(t) = \alpha_k \sin \frac{\pi k(t-a)}{b-a} \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where α_k are normirating constants. Therefore in this case

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2}$$

and condition (4) becomes

$$L < \frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2}.$$

In the case $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{Z}$, problem (1), (2) takes the form

$$y(t-1) - 2y(t) + y(t+1) + f(t, y(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [a+1, b-1],$$

$$y(a) = y(b) = 0,$$

and eigenvalue problem (5), (6) takes the form

$$y(t-1) - 2y(t) + y(t+1) + \lambda y(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a+1, b-1],$$
(13)

$$y(a) = y(b) = 0.$$
 (14)

The eigenvalues of (13), (14) are (cf. [4, Chap.7])

$$\lambda_k = 4\sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{2(b-a)} \quad (1 \le k \le b-a-1)$$

with the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions

$$\varphi_k(t) = \alpha_k \sin \frac{\pi k(t-a)}{b-a} \quad (1 \le k \le b-a-1),$$

where α_k are normirating constants. Therefore

$$\lambda_1 = 4\sin^2\frac{\pi}{2(b-a)}$$

and condition (4) becomes

$$L < 4\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(b-a)}.$$
 (15)

Since $b - a \ge 2$, using the inequality

$$\sin x \ge \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}x$$
 for $0 \le x \le \frac{\pi}{4}$,

we have that

$$\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(b-a)} \ge \frac{8}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{4(b-a)^2} = \frac{2}{(b-a)^2}$$

and, therefore, the condition of the form

$$L < \frac{8}{(b-a)^2}$$

implies condition (15).

4 An Estimation for λ_1 in General Case

In the case of arbitrary time scale T we have (8). Besides, from $A\varphi_1 = \lambda_1 \varphi_1$ we have

$$\left\|A^{-1}\varphi_1\right\| = \left\|\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\varphi_1\right\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}.$$

Consequently,

$$||A^{-1}|| = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}.$$
 (16)

On the other hand, the inverse operator A^{-1} has the form (see [3])

$$(A^{-1}u)(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)u(s)\nabla s \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{H},$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{cases} (t-a)(b-s) & \text{if } t \le s, \\ (s-a)(b-t) & \text{if } t \ge s, \end{cases}$$
(17)

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|A^{-1}u\right\|^{2} &= \int_{a}^{b} \left|\int_{a}^{b} G(t,s)u(s)\nabla s\right|^{2}\nabla t \\ &\leq \|u\|^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} |G(t,s)|^{2} \nabla s \nabla t \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\left|A^{-1}\right\| \leq \left\{\int_{a}^{b}\int_{a}^{b}\left|G(t,s)\right|^{2}\nabla s\nabla t\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, taking into account (16), we get

$$\lambda_1 \ge \left\{ \int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t,s)|^2 \,\nabla s \nabla t \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(18)

Next, from (17) it follows that

$$0 \le G(t,s) \le \frac{1}{b-a}(s-a)(b-s)$$

for all t and s in [a, b]. Therefore

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t,s)|^2 \nabla s \nabla t \le \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b (s-a)^2 (b-s)^2 \nabla s \nabla t$$

and observing that

$$0 \le (s-a)(b-s) \le \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$$
 for $s \in [a,b]$,

we find

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t,s)|^2 \, \nabla s \nabla t \le \frac{(b-a)^4}{16}.$$

Comparing this with (18), we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{4}{(b-a)^2}$$

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a NATO Science Reintegration Grant.

References

- [1] Bohner, M. and Peterson, A. Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications. Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [2] Bohner, M. and Peterson, A. Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. Birkhauser, Boston, 2003.
- [3] Guseinov, G.Sh. Eigenfunction expansions for a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales. International Journal of Difference Equations. 2 (2007) 93–104.
- [4] Kelley, W.G. and Peterson, A.C. Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications. Academic Press, New York, 1991.