



# Entropy Solutions of Nonlinear $p(x)$ -Parabolic Inequalities

Youssef Akdim \*, Allalou Chakir, Nezha El gorch and Mounir Mekhour

*Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Laboratory LSI Poly-Disciplinary Faculty of Taza  
P.O. Box 1223, Taza Gare, Morocco*

Received: July 24, 2017;    Revised: March 29, 2018

**Abstract:** In this paper we prove the existence of entropy solutions for weighted  $p(x)$ -parabolic problem associated with the equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au = g(u)\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

where the operator  $Au = -\operatorname{div}(\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$  and on the right-hand side  $f$  belongs to  $L^1(\Omega \times (0, T))$  and  $\omega(x)$  is a weight function.

**Keywords:** *parabolic problems; entropy solutions; Sobolev space with variable exponent; penalized equations.*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 47A15, 46A32, 47D20.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ ,  $T$  be a positive real number and  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ , while the variable exponent  $p : \Omega \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  is a continuous function, the data  $f \in L^1(Q)$  and  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ . The objective of this paper is to study the existence of an entropy solution for the obstacle parabolic problems of the type:

$$\begin{cases} u \geq \psi, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) = \omega(x)g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + f, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

---

\* Corresponding author: <mailto:akdimyoussef@yahoo.fr>

The operator  $-div(\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$  is a Leray-Lions operator defined on  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$  which is coercive.

In recent years, the study of partial differential equations and variational problems with variable exponent has received considerable attention in many models coming from various branches of mathematical physics, such as elastic mechanics, electro-rheological fluid dynamics and image processing, etc. We refer the readers to [12, 22]. Degenerate phenomena appear in the area of oceanography, turbulent fluid flows, induction heating and electrochemical problems. The notion of entropy solutions has been proposed by Bènilan et al. in [8] for the nonlinear elliptic problems.

Recently, when  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ , the existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions of  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation with  $L^1$  data were proved in [24] by Sanchón and Urbano. This notion was adapted to the study of the entropy solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents by Chao Zhang in [26] and the existence of solutions of some unilateral problems in the framework of Orlicz spaces has been established by M. Kbiri Alaoui, D. Meskine, A. Souissi in [17] in terms of the penalization method. E. Azroul, H. Redwane and M. Rhoudaf [5] have proved the existence of renormalized solution in Orlicz spaces in the case where  $b(u) = u$ . Fortunately, Kim, Wang and Zhang [18] have shown good properties of a function space and the so-called weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, and the existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable have been proved by Ky Ho, Inbo Sim [16]. Other work in this direction can be found in [4] by Y. Akdim, C. Allalou, N. El gorch.

Now we review some definitions and basic properties of the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  and the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a measurable positive and a.e. finite function in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Set

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{h \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x) > 1\}.$$

For any  $h \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ , we define  $h^+ = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x)$ ,  $h^- = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x)$ .

For any  $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ , we introduce the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  which consists of all measurable real-valued functions  $u$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \omega(x) dx < \infty,$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \omega(x) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a normed space. When  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ , we have  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \equiv L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and we use the notation  $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$  instead of  $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}$ . The following Hölder type inequality is useful for the next sections. The weighted variable exponent Sobolev space  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega); |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \quad (2)$$

or, equivalently

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} + \omega(x) \left| \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all  $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ .

It is significant that smooth functions are not dense in  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  without additional assumptions on the exponent  $p(x)$ . This feature was observed by Zhikov [27] in connection with the Lavrentiev phenomenon. However, when the exponent  $p(x)$  is log-Hölder continuous, i.e., there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{-\log|x - y|} \tag{3}$$

for every  $x, y$  with  $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then smooth functions are dense in variable exponent Sobolev spaces and there is no confusion in defining the Sobolev space with zero boundary values,  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , as the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}$  (see [15]).  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  is defined as the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}$ . Throughout the paper, we assume that  $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\omega$  is a measurable positive and a.e. finite function in  $\Omega$ .

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the mathematical preliminaries. In Section 3 we make precise all the assumptions on  $A, g, f$  and  $u_0$ , and give the definition of an entropy solution of  $(\mathcal{P})$ . In Section 4 we establish the existence of such a solution in Theorem 4.1.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we state some elementary properties for the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces which will be used in the next sections. The basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, that is when  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ , can be found in [13, 19].

**Lemma 2.1** (See [13, 19])(Generalised Hölder inequality).

i) For any functions  $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(x)} \|v\|_{p'(x)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{p(x)} \|v\|_{p'(x)}.$$

ii) For all  $p, q \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $p(x) \leq q(x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , we have  $L^{q(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}$  and the embedding is continuous.

**Lemma 2.2** (See [18]) Denote  $\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$  for all  $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ .

Then

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \text{ if and only if } \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1), \tag{4}$$

$$\text{if } \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)} > 1 \text{ then } \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p^+}, \tag{5}$$

$$\text{if } \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)} < 1 \text{ then } \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p^-}. \tag{6}$$

**Remark 2.1** ([23].) We set

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} + \omega(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Then, following the same argumen, we have

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^-, \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^+ \right\} \leq I(u) \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^-, \|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}^+ \right\}.$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that  $\omega$  is a measurable positive and a.e. finite function in  $\Omega$  satisfying the following relations:

(**W**<sub>1</sub>)  $\omega \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  and  $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p(x)-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ ;

(**W**<sub>2</sub>)  $\omega^{-s(x)} \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $s(x) \in (\frac{N}{p(x)}, \infty) \cap [\frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty)$ .

The reasons why we assume (**W**<sub>1</sub>) and (**W**<sub>2</sub>) can be found in [18].

**Remark 2.2** ([18].) (i) If  $\omega$  is a positive measurable and finite function, then  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  is a reflexive Banach space.

(ii) Moreover, if (**W**<sub>1</sub>) holds, then  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  is a reflexive Banach space.

For  $p, s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ , denote

$$p_s(x) = \frac{p(x)s(x)}{s(x)+1} < p(x),$$

where  $s(x)$  is given in (**W**<sub>2</sub>). Assume that we fix the variable exponent restrictions

$$p_s^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)s(x)N}{(s(x)+1)N-p(x)s(x)}, & \text{if } N > p_s(x), \\ \text{arbitrary,} & \text{if } N \leq p_s(x), \end{cases}$$

for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ . These definitions play a key role in our paper. We shall frequently make use of the following (compact) imbedding lemma for the weighted variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev space in the next sections.

**Lemma 2.3** ([18].) *Let  $p, s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition (3), and let (**W**<sub>1</sub>) and (**W**<sub>2</sub>) be satisfied. If  $r \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $1 < r(x) \leq p_s^*$ , then we obtain the continuous imbedding*

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, we have the compact imbedding

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega),$$

provided that  $1 < r(x) < p_s^*$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

From Lemma 2.3, we have Poincaré-type inequality immediately.

**Corollary 2.1** ([18].) *Let  $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition (3). If (**W**<sub>1</sub>) and (**W**<sub>2</sub>) hold, then the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega,\omega)}$$

holds for every  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $u$ .

Throughout this paper, let  $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy the log-Hölder continuity condition (3) and  $X := W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  be the weighted variable exponent Sobolev space that consists of all real valued functions  $u$  from  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  which vanish on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \omega(x) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is equivalent to the norm (2) due to Corollary 2.1. The following proposition gives the characterization of the dual space  $(W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*$ , which is analogous to [ [19], Theorem 3.16]. We recall that the dual space of weighted Sobolev spaces  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$  is equivalent to  $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \omega)$ , where  $\omega^* = \omega^{1-p'(x)}$ .

We will also use the standard notation for Bochner spaces, i.e., if  $q \geq 1$  and  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $L^q(0, T; X)$  denotes the space of strongly measurable function  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow X$  for which  $t \rightarrow \|u(t)\|_X \in L^q(0, T)$ . Moreover,  $C([0; T]; X)$  denotes the space of continuous function  $u : [0; T] \rightarrow X$  endowed with the norm  $\|u\|_{C([0; T]; X)} = \max_{t \in [0; T]} \|u\|_X$ ,

$$L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) = \left\{ u : (0, T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \text{ measurable; } \left( \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^-} \right)^{1/p^-} < \infty \right\}$$

and we define the space

$$L^\infty(0, T; X) = \left\{ u : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ measurable; } \exists C > 0 / \|u(t)\|_X \leq C \text{ a.e.} \right\},$$

where the norm is defined by:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; X)} = \inf \left\{ C > 0; \|u(t)\|_X \leq C \text{ a.e.} \right\}.$$

We introduce the functional space (see [6])

$$V = \left\{ f \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)); |\nabla f| \in L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega) \right\}, \tag{7}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_V = \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)}$$

or the equivalent norm

$$\|f\|_V = \|f\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)},$$

which is a separable and reflexive Banach space. The equivalence of the two norms is an easy consequence of the continuous embedding  $L^{p(x)}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(x)}(\Omega))$  and the Poincaré inequality. We state some further properties of  $V$  in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $V$  be defined as in (7) and its dual space be denoted by  $V^*$ . Then*  
*i) We have the following continuous dense embeddings:*

$$L^{p^+}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)).$$

In particular, since  $D(Q)$  is dense in  $L^{p^+}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$ , it is dense in  $V$  and for the corresponding dual spaces, we have

$$L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*) \hookrightarrow V^* \hookrightarrow L^{(p^+)'}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*).$$

Note that we have the following continuous dense embeddings

$$L^{p^+}(0, T; L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)).$$

ii) One can represent the elements of  $V^*$  as follows: if  $T \in V^*$ , then there exists  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in (L^{p'(x)}(Q))^N$  such that  $T = \operatorname{div}_X F$  and

$$\langle T, \xi \rangle_{V^*, V} = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx \, dt$$

for any  $\xi \in V$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|T\|_{V^*} = \max \left\{ \|f_i\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q, \omega)}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

**Remark 2.3** The space  $V \cap L^\infty(Q)$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{V \cap L^\infty(Q)} = \max \left\{ \|v\|_V, \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \right\}, v \in V \cap L^\infty(Q),$$

is a Banach space. In fact, it is the dual space of the Banach space  $V + L^1(Q)$  endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{V^* + L^1(Q)} := \inf \left\{ \|v_1\|_{V^*} + \|v_2\|_{L^1(Q)} \right\}; v = v_1 + v_2, v_1 \in V^*, v_2 \in L^1(Q).$$

## 2.1 Some technical results

This subsection introduces some basic technical lemmas and results that will be needed throughout this paper. For some details concerning the related issues, the reader can consult papers [7, 9].

**Lemma 2.5** (see [3]) Assume (9) and let  $(u_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$  and

$$\int_Q \left( a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u) \right) \cdot \nabla (u_n - u) \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

Then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$ .

Besides,  $a(x, t, u, \nabla u) = \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \right)$  in our case.

**Lemma 2.6** ([6]) Let  $g \in L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)$  and let  $g_n \in L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)$ , with  $\|g_n\|_{L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)} \leq c$ ,  $1 < r(x) < \infty$ . If  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  a.e. in  $Q$ , then  $g_n \rightharpoonup g$  in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(Q, \omega)$ , where  $\rightharpoonup$  denotes weak convergence and  $\omega$  is a weight function on  $Q$ .

**Lemma 2.7** (See [23])  $W := \left\{ u \in V; u_t \in V^* + L^1(Q) \right\} \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$  and  $W \cap L^\infty(Q) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ .

### 3 Assumptions and Definition

Throughout this paper, we assume that the following assumptions hold true.

#### 3.1 Basic assumptions

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2, T > 0$  be a positive real number and let us set  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and let  $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$  and assume that  $p(x)$  satisfies the log-Hölder condition (3) with  $1 < p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < \infty$ . The differential operator  $A : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$Au = -\operatorname{div}\left(\omega(x)\left|\nabla u\right|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u\right), \tag{9}$$

is a Leray-Lions operator which is coercive and

$$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \tag{10}$$

is a bounded and continuous positive function that belongs to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$f \text{ is an element of } L^1(Q), u_0 \in L^1(\Omega), u_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega}). \tag{11}$$

Let  $\psi$  be a measurable function with values in  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\psi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , (see [17]),  $K$  is defined by:  $K = \{u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega); u(x) \geq \psi(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$  and consider the convex set

$$K_\psi = \{u \in V, u(t) \in K\}.$$

We recall that, for  $k > 0$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the truncation function  $T_k(\cdot)$  is defined by

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text{if } |s| \geq k. \end{cases}$$

#### 3.2 Definition of entropy solution

**Definition 3.1** Let  $f \in L^1(Q)$  and  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ . A measurable function  $u$  defined on  $Q$  is a unilateral entropy solution of problem (P) if

$$u \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } Q, \tag{12}$$

$$T_k(u) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)), \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \text{ and } u \in C(0, T; L^1(\Omega)), \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ S_k(u-v) \right]_0^T dx + \int_Q \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} T_k(u-v) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q \omega(x) \left| \nabla u \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla T_k(u-v) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_Q \omega(x) g(u) \left| \nabla u \right|^{p(x)} T_k(u-v) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q f T_k(u-v) dx dt, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all  $v \in K_\psi \cap L^\infty(Q), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*)$ , where  $S_k(s) = \int_0^s T_k(r) dr \quad \forall k > 0$ .

#### 4 The Principal Result

The aim of the present work is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.1** *Under assumptions (9)-(11), there exists at least one unilateral entropy solution of problem (1).*

**Proof** of Theorem 4.1. Existence of entropy solutions.

We first introduce the approximate problems. Find two sequences of functions  $\{f_n\} \subset L^{p'(x)}(Q)$  and  $\{u_{0n}\} \subset D(\Omega)$  strongly converging with respect to  $f$  in  $L^1(Q)$  and to  $u_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(Q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (15)$$

Then, we consider the approximate problem of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) - nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \omega(x)g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + f_n, & \text{in } D'(Q), \\ u_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_n(t=0) = u_{0n}, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Moreover, since  $f_n \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*)$ , proving the existence of weak solution  $u_n \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$  of (16) is an easy task (see [4]).

Our aim is to prove that a subsequence of these approximate solution  $u_n$  converges to a measurable function  $u$ , which is an entropy solution of the problem.

**Step 1: A priori estimates.** The estimate derived in this step relies on standard techniques for problems of the type (16).

**Proposition 4.1** *Assume that (9)-(11) hold true and let  $u_n$  be a solution of the approximate problem (16). Then for all  $k > 0$ , we have*

$$\|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))} \leq C k \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h > k > 0$  and consider the test function  $\varphi = T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) \cup L^\infty(Q)$  in the approximate problem (16), where  $G(s) = \int_0^s g(r)dr$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ & + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & + \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & - \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \end{aligned}$$



$$= \int_Q \omega(x)g(u_n) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$+ \int_Q f_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt,$$

then

$$\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) \right\rangle \right\rangle + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$- \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$= \int_Q f_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt.$$

On the one hand, we have

$$\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) \right\rangle \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} S_h^k(u_n(T)) dx - \int_{\Omega} S_h^k(u_{0n}) dx,$$

where  $S_h^k(s) = \int_0^s T_h(q - T_k(q)) \exp(G(q)) dq$ , and by using the fact that

$\int_{\Omega} S_h^k(u_n(T)) dx \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\Omega} S_h^k(u_{0n}) dx \leq h \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , we get

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$- \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$\leq h \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \left[ \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right].$$

We have

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$- \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$\leq C_1 h. \tag{17}$$

We obtain

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt$$

$$\leq C_1 h + (h+k) \|g\|_{\infty} \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt,$$

then

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+h\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_2 h \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt.$$

Let us take  $\rho_1(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s)\chi_{\{|s|\leq k\}} ds \exp(G(u_n))$  as a test function of (16) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi_2(u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) \rho_1(u_n) dx dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^{\infty} g(s) ds \right) \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_2(r) = \int_0^r \rho_1(s) ds$ , which implies, in view of  $\varphi_2(r) \geq 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \|g\|_{\infty} \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) [\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}] \\ & \quad + (h+k) \|g\|_{\infty} \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq hC_3 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking  $\rho_2 = \int_0^{u_n} g(s)\chi_{\{|s|\geq k+h\}} ds \exp(G(u_n))$  as a test function of (16) , we conclude that

$$\int_{\{|u_n|\geq k+h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq hC_4 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt.$$

Consequently, we have :

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_Q \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\{|u_n|\geq k+h\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\{k\leq |u_n|\leq k+h\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq hC_5 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt, \text{ where } C_5 = \text{Max}(C_2, C_3, C_4). \end{aligned} \right.$$

Using (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt - \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq hC_5 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$-\int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \leq hC_5 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dxdt$$

so that

$$-\int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) \frac{T_h(u_n - T_k(u_n))}{h} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \leq C_5 \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dxdt.$$

Let us now fix  $k > \|\psi\|_\infty$ , by the fact that  $nT_n((u_n - \psi)(u_n - k)\chi_{\{u_n \leq \psi; k \leq u_n \leq k+h\}}) \geq 0$  and letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , one has

$$\int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dxdt \leq C_6. \tag{18}$$

Let use  $v = T_k(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \chi(0, \tau)$  as a test function of (16)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_\Omega \varphi_3(u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla T_k(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n) g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & - \int_{Q^\tau} nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_k(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) T_k(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q^\tau} f_n T_k(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_3(r) = \int_0^r T_k(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$ . Due to the definition of  $\varphi_3$  and the fact that  $|G(u_n)| \leq \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , we have  $0 \leq \int_\Omega \varphi_3(u_{0n}) dx \leq k \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ , and by using (18) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & \leq k \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \left[ \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)+C_7} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us take  $\rho_4(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s) \chi_{\{s \geq 0\}} ds \exp(G(u_n))$  as a test function of 16, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_\Omega \varphi_4(u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n \geq 0\}} dxdt \\ & - \int_Q nT_n((u_n - \psi)^-) \rho_4(u_n) dxdt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}) \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_4(r) = \int_0^r \rho_4(s)ds$ , which implies, in view of  $\varphi_4(r) \geq 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u_n \geq 0\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ \leq \|g\|_\infty \exp\left(\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\right) \left[ \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] + C_8, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\int_{\{u_n \geq 0\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_9.$$

Similarly, taking  $\rho_5 = \int_{u_n}^0 g(s) \chi_{\{s \leq 0\}} ds \exp(G(u_n))$  as a test function of (16), we conclude that

$$\int_{\{u_n \leq 0\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_{10}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_Q \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_{11}.$$

As  $C_1, \dots, C_{11}$  are constants independent of  $n$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)} dx dt &\leq k C_{12} \\ \Rightarrow \|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))} &\leq C_{13} k. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Then, we conclude that  $T_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$ , independently of  $n$  for any  $k > 0$ .

Now we turn to proving that  $(u_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in measures. Let  $k > 0$  be large enough and  $B_R$  be a ball of  $\Omega$ . Using (19) and applying Hölder's inequality and Poincaré's inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} k \text{ meas}\left(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]\right) &= \int_0^T \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R} |T_k(u_n)| dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{B_R} |T_k(u_n)| dx dt \\ &\leq C \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} w dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &\leq C k^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} p^-, & \text{if } \|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq 1 \\ p^+, & \text{if } \|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} > 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$meas\left(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) \leq \frac{c_1}{k^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}}}, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \tag{20}$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( meas\left(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) \right) = 0.$$

Then, we obtain for all  $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} meas\left(\{|u_n - u_m| > \delta\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) &\leq meas\left(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) \\ &\quad + meas\left(\{|u_m| > k\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) \\ &\quad + meas\left(\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > \delta\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$ , it is clear that  $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow v_k$  strongly in  $L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)$  and almost everywhere in  $Q$ . Hence  $(T_k(u_n))_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in measure in  $Q$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then by (20), there exists a  $k(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$meas\left(\{|u_n - u_m| > \delta\} \cap (B_R \times [0, T])\right) < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0(k(\epsilon), \delta, R).$$

This proves that  $(u_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in measures in  $B_R$ .

Consider a non-decreasing function  $g_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$g_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq \frac{k}{2}, \\ k, & \text{if } |s| \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the approximate equation by  $g'_k(u_n)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_k(u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(\omega(x) \left|\nabla u_n\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n g'_k(u_n)\right) + \omega(x) \left|\nabla u_n\right|^{p(x)} g''_k(u_n) \\ - n T_n\left((u_n - \psi)^-\right) g'_k(u_n) = \omega(x) g(u_n) \left|\nabla u_n\right|^{p(x)} g'_k(u_n) + f_n g'_k(u_n) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

in the sense of distributions. This implies, thanks to the fact that  $g'_k$  has compact support, that  $g_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$ , while its time derivative  $\frac{\partial g_k(u_n)}{\partial t}$  is bounded in  $L^1(Q) + V^*$ . Due to the choice of  $g_k$ , we conclude that for each  $k$ , the sequence  $T_k(u_n)$  converges almost everywhere in  $Q$ , which implies that the sequence  $u_n$  converges almost everywhere to some measurable function  $v$  in  $Q$ . Thus, by using the same argument as in [9], [10], [11], we can show the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $u_n$  be a solution of (16). Then*

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } Q. \tag{22}$$

We can deduce from (19) that

$$T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)). \tag{23}$$

**Lemma 4.2** [2] *Let  $u_n$  be a solution of (16). Then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla(u_n)) \nabla u_n dx dt = 0. \quad (24)$$

**Step 3: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients.** This step is devoted to introducing, for a fixed  $k \geq 0$ , a time regularization of the function  $T_k(u)$  in order to apply the monotonicity method. This specific time regularization of  $T_k(u)$  (for fixed  $k \geq 0$ ) is defined as follows. Let  $(v_0^\mu)_\mu$  be a sequence of functions defined on  $\Omega$  such that

$$v_0^\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \quad \text{for all } \mu > 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\|v_0^\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq k \quad \text{for all } \mu > 0, \quad (26)$$

$$v_0^\mu \rightarrow T_k(u_0) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and } \frac{1}{\mu} \|v_0^\mu\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

For fixed  $k$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , let us consider the unique solution  $(T_k(u))_\mu \in L^\infty(Q) \cap L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$  of the monotone problem:

$$\frac{\partial(T_k(u))_\mu}{\partial t} + \mu \left( (T_k(u))_\mu - T_k(u) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } D'(Q), \quad (28)$$

$$(T_k(u))_\mu(t=0) = v_0^\mu \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (29)$$

Note that due to (28), we have for  $\mu > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$

$$\frac{\partial(T_k(u))_\mu}{\partial t} \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)). \quad (30)$$

We just recall here that (28)–(29) imply that

$$(T_k(u))_\mu \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ a.e. in } Q, \quad (31)$$

as well as weakly in  $L^\infty(Q)$  and strongly in  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that for any  $\mu$  and any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\|(T_k(u))_\mu\|_{L^\infty(Q, \omega)} \leq \max \left( \|T_k(u)\|_{L^\infty(Q, \omega)}; \|v_0^\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \leq k. \quad (32)$$

We introduce a sequence of increasing  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -functions  $S_m$  such that

$$S_m(r) = r \text{ for } |r| \leq m, \text{ supp}(S'_m) \subset [-(m+1), m+1], \|S''_m\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1,$$

for any  $m \geq 1$ , and we denote by  $\omega(n, \mu, \eta, m)$  the quantities such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(n, \mu, \eta, m) = 0.$$

**Lemma 4.3** ([2, 11]). *We have*

$$\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_\eta \left( u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \right)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) \right\rangle dt \geq \omega(n, \mu, \eta) \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (33)$$

Taking now  $v = T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ S'_m(u_n) \exp(G(u_n))$  of (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) \right\rangle dt \\
 & + \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & + \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt \\
 & + \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) g(u_n) \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & - n \int_Q T_n(u_n - \psi^-) T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & = \int_Q \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ S'_m(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\
 & + \int_Q f_n T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+ S'_m(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

From (19),(24),(33),(34) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & \leq C\eta + \omega(n, \mu, \eta, m),
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $n$  and  $m$ . On the other hand, let  $A = \{0 \leq T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}$  and  $B = \{0 \leq u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_\eta(u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & = \int_B (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) (\nabla u_n - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & = \int_A (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & + \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B} (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) (\nabla u_n - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Given the definition of  $S'_m[S'_m(u_n) = 1$  a.e. in  $\{|u_n| \leq k\}$  if  $k \leq m]$ , it is possible to obtain from (35) and (36), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_A (\omega(x) |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & \leq \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B} (\omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & + C\eta + \omega(n, \mu, \eta, m).
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Since  $\nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n)$  is bounded in  $(L^{p'(x)}(Q, \omega))^N$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q$ , one has  $\nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u)$  weakly in  $(L^{p'(x)}(Q, \omega))^N$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B} \omega(x) |\nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n) |\nabla (T_k(u))_\mu| \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dxdt \\
 & = \int_{\{|u| > k\} \cap \{0 \leq u - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \omega(x) |\nabla T_{k+\eta}(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \exp(G(u)) S'_m(u) dxdt + \omega(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (31) one easily has

$$\int_{\{|u|>k\} \cap \{0 \leq u - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \exp(G(u)) S'_m(u) dx dt = \omega(\mu).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla u_n \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla \left( T_\eta \left( u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \right)^+ \right) \exp(G(u_n)) S'_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & \leq C\eta + \omega(n, \mu, \eta, m). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \right) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & = \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & + \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \right) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and the last integral tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \right) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \right) \exp(G(u)) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using (31) and Lebesgue's theorem, we have

$$\int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u) - \nabla (T_k(u))_\mu \right) \exp(G(u)) dx dt \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . We deduce then that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left( \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq C\eta + \omega(n, \mu, \eta, m). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Let  $M_n = \left( \left[ \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \left[ \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right] \right) \times \left( \exp(G(u_n)) \right) \right)$ .

Then, for any  $0 < \theta < 1$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_n & = \int_{\{|u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu| \geq 0\}} M_n^\theta dx dt = \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu| \leq \eta, u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \geq 0\}} M_n^\theta dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu| > \eta, u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \geq 0\}} M_n^\theta dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nabla T_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $(L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega))^N$ , we obtain by applying Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_n & \leq C_1 \left( \int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} M_n dx dt \right)^\theta \\ & + C_2 \text{meas} \left\{ (x, t) \in Q : \left| T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu \right| > \eta, u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \geq 0 \right\}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$



On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} M_n \, dx \, dt \\
 &= \int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left( \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) \exp(G(u_n)) \, dx \, dt \\
 & - \int_{\{0 \leq T_k(u_n) - (T_k(u))_\mu < \eta\}} \left( \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left( \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right) \exp(G(u_n)) \, dx \, dt \\
 &= I_n^1 + I_n^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Using (40), we have

$$I_n^1 \leq C \eta + w(n, \mu, \eta, m). \tag{43}$$

Concerning  $I_n^2$ , that is the second term of the right-hand side of the (42), it is easy to see that

$$I_n^2 = w(n, \mu). \tag{44}$$

Therefore, for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we have  $\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i}$  in  $L^{p(x)}(Q, \omega)$ . Combining (41), (42), (43) and (44), we get

$$I_n \leq C_1 \left( C \eta + w(n, \mu, \eta, m) \right)^\theta + C_2 \left( w(n, \mu) \right)^{1-\theta}$$

and by passing to the limit sup over  $n, \mu$  and  $\eta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \geq 0\}} \left( \omega(x) \left[ \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right] - \left[ \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right] \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right]^\theta \, dx \, dt = w(n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

On the other hand, we choose  $v = T_\eta \left( u_n - (T_k(u))_\mu \right)^- \exp(-G(u_n))$  in (16) and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{u_n - T_k(u)_\mu \leq 0\}} \left( \left[ \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right] - \left[ \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right] \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right]^\theta \, dx \, dt = w(n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Moreover, (45) and (46) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_Q \left( \omega(x) \left[ \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_n) \right] - \left[ \omega(x) \left| \nabla T_k(u_n) \right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \right] \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right]^\theta \, dx \, dt = w(n),
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

which implies that

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) \quad \forall k \geq 0. \tag{48}$$

According to [9, 10], there exists a subsequence also denoted by  $u_n$  such that

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } Q. \tag{49}$$

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $u_n$  be a solution of (16). Then  $u \geq \psi$  a.e. in  $Q$ .*

**Proof.** Thanks to (18), we can write  $\int_Q T_n((u_n - \psi)^-) dxdt \leq \frac{C}{n}$ . So, by using Fatou's lemma as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we infer that  $\int_Q (u - \psi)^- dxdt = 0$ , which implies that  $(u - \psi)^- = 0$  a.e. in  $Q$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $u \geq \psi$  a.e. in  $Q$ .

**Step 4: Passing to the limit**

a) **we claim that  $u \in C(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ .** We will show that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad C(0, T; L^1(\Omega)).$$

Since  $T_k(u) \in K_\psi$ , for every  $k \geq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}$  there exists a sequence  $v_j \in K_\psi \cap D(\bar{Q})$  such that

$$v_j \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))$$

for the modular convergence.

Let  $\omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l} = (T_l(v_j))_\mu + e^{-\mu t} T_l(\eta_i)$  with  $\eta_i \geq 0$  converge to  $u_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , where  $(T_l(v_j))_\mu$  is the mollification of  $T_l(v_j)$  with respect to time. Note that  $\omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}$  is a smooth function having the following properties:

$$\frac{\partial \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}}{\partial t} = \mu(T_l(v_j) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}), \quad \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}(0) = T_l(\eta_i), \quad |\omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}| \leq l, \quad (50)$$

$$\omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l} \rightarrow T_l(v_j) \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)) \quad \text{as} \quad \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (51)$$

Choosing now  $v = T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l})\chi_{(0,\tau)}$  as a test function of (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} + \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt \\ & - \int_{Q^\tau} n T_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt + \int_{Q^\tau} f_n T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

By using the fact that  $-\int_{Q^\tau} n T_n(u_n - \psi)^- T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt \geq 0$ , we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} + \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt + \int_{Q^\tau} f_n T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

• On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt \\ &= \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}| \leq k\}} \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}] dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

In the following, we pass to the limit in (53): By letting  $n$  and  $\mu$  to infinity and by using Lebesgue theorem, we have

$$I = \int_{\{|T_k(u) - T_l(v_j)| \leq k\}} \omega(x) |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) [\nabla T_k(u) - \nabla T_l(v_j)] dxdt + \epsilon(n, \mu),$$

consequently, by taking the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that

$$I = \epsilon(n, \mu, j, l).$$

• On the other hand, we have

$$J = \int_{Q^\tau} \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt. \tag{54}$$

In the following, we pass to the limit in (54): Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (54) and since  $\omega(x)g(u_n)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \rightarrow \omega(x)g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}$  in  $L^1(Q)$ , and by using Lebesgue theorem, we obtain  $J = \int_{Q^\tau} g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} T_k(u - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) dxdt + \epsilon(n)$  and by letting  $\mu$  and  $j$  to infinity, we have

$$J = \epsilon(n, \mu, j, l).$$

• Due to (15),  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  and letting  $n, \mu$  and  $j$  to infinity, we have

$$\int_{Q^\tau} f_n [T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l})] dxdt = \epsilon(n, \mu, j, l)$$

and by using Vitali's theorem, we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} \leq 0. \tag{55}$$

We have (see ([1]))

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} = \mu \int_{Q^\tau} (T_k(v_j) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \geq \epsilon(n, j, \mu, l) \tag{56}$$

uniformly on  $\tau$ . Therefore, by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(\tau) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}(\tau)) dx &= \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} \\ &\quad - \left\langle \frac{\partial \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}) \right\rangle_{Q^\tau} + \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(0) - T_l(\eta_i)) dx \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and using (55) and (56) and (57), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(\tau) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}(\tau)) dx \leq \epsilon(n, j, \mu, l), \tag{58}$$

which implies, by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S_k\left(\frac{u_n(\tau) - u_m(\tau)}{2}\right) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(\tau) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}(\tau)) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_m(\tau) - \omega_{j,\mu}^{i,l}(\tau)) dx \right), \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

that

$$\int_{\Omega} S_k \left( \frac{u_n(\tau) - u_m(\tau)}{2} \right) dx \leq \epsilon_1(n, m).$$

We deduce then that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n(\tau) - u_m(\tau)| dx \leq \epsilon_2(n, m), \text{ independently of } \tau \quad (60)$$

and thus  $(u_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ , and since  $u_n \rightarrow u$ , a.e. in  $Q$ , we deduce that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } C(0, T; L^1(\Omega)). \quad (61)$$

**b) We prove that  $u$  satisfies (14)**

Indeed, let  $v \in K_{\psi} \cap L^{\infty}(Q)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*)$ . By the pointwise multiplication of (16) by  $T_k(u_n - v)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(T) - v(T)) dx - \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_{0n} - v(0)) dx \\ & + \int_Q \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} T_k(u_n - v) dx dt + \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \nabla T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & - \int_Q n T_n((u_n - \psi)^-) T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & = \int_Q \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q f_n T_k(u_n - v) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_k(s) = \int_0^s T_k(r) dr$ .

Since  $v \in K_{\psi} \cap L^{\infty}(Q)$ , we have  $-\int_Q n T_n(u_n - \psi)^- T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(T) - v(T)) dx - \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_{0n} - v(0)) dx + \int_Q \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q (\omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \nabla T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_Q \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q f_n T_k(u_n - v) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

• Let us pass to the limit with  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in each term in (62). We saw that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $C(0, T, L^1(\Omega))$ . Therefore  $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  for all  $t \leq T$ .

As  $S_k$  is Lipschitz of coefficient  $k$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n - v)(T) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} S_k(u - v)(T) dx \\ \text{and } & \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n - v)(0) dx = \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_{0n} - v(0)) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_0 - v(0)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

- Since  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \omega))^*)$ , one has

$$\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, T_k(u_n - v) \right\rangle dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, T_k(u - v) \right\rangle dt.$$

- On the other hand, we note  $M = \|v\|_\infty$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla T_k(T_{k+M}(u_n) - v) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla T_{k+M}(u_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u_n) - v| \leq k\}} dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla v \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u_n) - v| \leq k\}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

As  $T_{k+M}(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$  and  $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$  a.e. in  $Q$ , then

$$\nabla T_{k+M}(u_n) \rightarrow \nabla T_{k+M}(u) \text{ almost everywhere,}$$

and by using Lebesgue theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla T_{k+M}(u_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u_n) - v| \leq k\}} dx dt \\ & \rightarrow \int_Q \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u - v)| \leq k\}} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) \nabla v \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u_n) - v| \leq k\}} dx dt \rightarrow \\ & \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \right) \nabla v \mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k+M}(u - v)| \leq k\}} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\int_Q \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \right) T_k(u_n - v) dx dt \rightarrow \int_Q \left( \omega(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \right) T_k(u - v) dx dt.$$

- Let us pass to the limit for other term. Due to (15),  $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$  in  $V \forall k \geq 0$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q$ , we have

$$f_n T_k(u_n - v) \rightarrow f T_k(u - v) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q)$$

and by Lebesgue theorem, we have

$$\int_Q f_n T_k(u_n - v) \rightarrow \int_Q f T_k(u - v) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q).$$

- Similarly, since  $g$  is a bounded and continuous function belonging to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q$ , we obtain

$$\int_Q \omega(x) g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} T_k(u_n - v) \rightarrow \int_Q \omega(x) g(u) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} T_k(u - v) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q).$$

Then, we conclude that  $u$  satisfies (14).

As a conclusion of Step 1 to Step 4, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank the anonymous reviewer for offering valuable suggestions to improve this paper.

### References

- [1] Aboulaich, R., Achchab, B., Meskine, D. and Souissi, A. Parabolic inequalities with non-standard growths and  $L^1$  data. *Boundary Value Problems* **2006** 1–18.
- [2] Akdim, Y., Bennouna, J. and Mekhour, M. Solvability of degenerated parabolic equations without sign condition and three unbounded nonlinearities. *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns.* **2011** (3) (2011) 1–26.
- [3] Akdim, Y., Allalou, C. and El gorch, N. Solvability of Degenerated  $p(x)$ -Parabolic Equations with three Unbounded Nonlinearities. *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **4**(1) (2016) 42–62.
- [4] Akdim, Y., Allalou, C. and El gorch, N. Renormalized Solutions of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations in Weigthed Variable-Exponent Space. *Journal of Partial Differential Equations* **28** (3) 225–252.
- [5] Azroul, A., Redwane, H. and Rhoudaf, M. Existence of a renormalized solution for a class of nonlinear parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces. *Portugal. Math.* **66** (1) (2009) 29–63.
- [6] Bendahmane, M., Wittbold, P. and Zimmermann, A. Renormalized solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with variable exponents and  $L^1$ -data. *J. Differential Equations* **249** (2010) 1483–1515.
- [7] Benkirane, A. and Elmahi, A. A stongly nonlinear elliptic equation having natural growth terms and  $L^1$  data. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods and Appl.* **39** (2000) 403–411.
- [8] Bènlan, P., Boccardo, I., Gllôët, T., Gariépy, R., Pierre, M. and Vasquez, J.L. An  $L^1$ -theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. *Ann. Scudo Norm. Sup Pisa Cl. Sci.* **22** (1995) 241–273.
- [9] Blanchard, D., Murat, F. and Redwane, H. Existence and uniqueness of a renormalized solution for a fairly general class of nonlinear parabolic problems. *J. Differential Equations* **177** (2001) 331–374.
- [10] Blanchard, D. and Murat, F. Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic problems with  $L^1$ -data: existence and uniqueness. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.* **A127** (1997) 1137–1152.
- [11] Blanchard, D. and Redwane, H. Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic evolution problems. *J. Math. Pure Appl.* **77** (1998) 117–151.
- [12] Chen, Y., Levine, S. and Rao, M. Variable exponent linear growth functionals in image restoration. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66** (2006) 1383–1406.
- [13] Fan, X.L. and Zhao, D. On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001) 424–446.
- [14] Fan, X.L. and Zhao, D. The generalised Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . *J. Gansu Educ. College* **12** (1) (1998) 1–6.
- [15] Harjulehto, P. Variable exponent Sobolev space with zero boundary values. *Math. Bohem.* **132** (2007) 125–136.
- [16] Ky Ho and Inbo Sim. Existence and some properties of solutions for degenerate elliptic equations with exponent variable. *Nonlinear Analysis* **98** (2014) 146–164.
- [17] Alaoui, M.K., Meskine, D. and Souissi, A. On some class of nonlinear parabolic inequalities in Orlicz spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **74** (2011) 5863–5875.

- [18] Kim, Y.H., Wang, L. and Zhang, C. Global bifurcation for a class of degenerate elliptic equations with variable exponents. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **371** (2010) 624–637.
- [19] Kovacik, O. and Rakonsik, J. On spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41** (116) (1991) 592–618.
- [20] Lions, J.L. *Quelques Méthode de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*. Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [21] Rakotoson, J.M. Resolution of the critical cases for the problems with  $L^1$ -data when right-hand side is in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . *Asymptotic Analysis* (6) (1993) 285–293.
- [22] Ruzicka, M. *Electrorheological Fluids Modeling and Mathematical Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1748. Springer–Verlaag, Berlin, 2000.
- [23] Porretta, A. Existence results for nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **177** (4) (1999) 143–172.
- [24] Sanchón, M. and Urbano, J.M. Entropy solutions for the  $p(x)$ -Laplace equation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **361** (2009) 6387–6405.
- [25] Simon, J. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T, B)$ . *Ann. Mathematical. Pura and Applications* **146** (1987) 65–96.
- [26] Zhang, C. Entropy solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **2014** (92) (2014) 1–14.
- [27] Zhikov, V.V. On some variational problems. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* (5) (1997) 105–116.