



# Capacity, Theorem of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder Type in Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev Spaces and Application

M.C. Hassib<sup>1\*</sup>, Y. Akdim<sup>2</sup>, A. Benkirane<sup>3</sup> and N. Aissaoui<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of science and technique, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, P.O. Box 2202, road of Imouzzar Fez, Laboratory : LSI, Taza, Morocco.

<sup>2</sup> Faculty poly-disciplinary of Taza, Laboratory : LSI, Morocco.

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Laboratory LAMA, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, P.O. Box 1796, Atlas Fez, Morocco.

<sup>4</sup> Ecole normale supérieure, P.O. Box 5206 Bensouda Fez, Morocco.

Received: July 9, 2016; Revised: April 9, 2017

**Abstract:** The second section of this paper is devoted to the study of the capacity theory in Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space, we study basic’s properties, including monotonicity, countable subadditivity and several convergence results, we prove that each Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev function has a quasi-continuous representative. In the third section, we generalize the Theorem of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder in the setting of Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , which extends the previous result of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder [10]. In the fourth section, we make an application to an unilateral problem.

**Keywords:** Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces; capacity; theorem of H. Brezis and F.E. Browder; unilateral problem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 31C15, 35M86, 35R35, 49J40.

## 1 Introduction

The theory of capacity and non-linear potential in the classical Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega)$ , was mainly studied by Maz’ya and Khavin in [17] and Meyers in [21]. These authors in their previous works have introduced the concept of capacity and non-linear potential in these spaces and provided very rich applications in functional analysis, harmonic analysis and in the theory of partial differential equations.

When we replace the spaces  $L^p(\Omega)$  by the general one  $L_A(\Omega)$  generated by an  $N$ -function, some fundamental properties are not satisfied, in particular, the reflexivity of

---

\* Corresponding author: [mailto:cherif\\_hassib@yahoo.fr](mailto:cherif_hassib@yahoo.fr)

spaces (obviously for an  $N$ -function which does not satisfying the  $\Delta_2$  condition). In this case, we found some works, in particular In [3] and [4].

When we replace  $A(t)$  by some Musielak–Orlicz function  $\varphi(x, t)$ , the situation belong more difficult and the Musielak–Orlicz spaces obtained is  $L_\varphi(\Omega)$  which has lost many interest functional properties. In this case, we refer the reader [13] and [18].

Thus, the first goal of this paper is to extend the theory of capacity in the setting of Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ . Moreover, we generalize the Theorem 1 of [1], in the setting of Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , this generalisation is an extension of the corresponding result of H.Brezis and F.E.Browder(see [10] and [15]).

Now, let give and comment the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 < p, p' < +\infty$ , such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . Consider  $u$  in  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  a.e in  $\Omega$  and  $T$  in  $W_0^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ , such that  $T = \mu + h$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure and  $h$  an  $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$  function; Assume moreover that*

$$h(x)u(x) \geq -|\Phi(x)| \quad \text{a.e } x \in \Omega, \text{ for some } \Phi \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Then:

$$hu \in L^1(\Omega), \quad u \in L^1(\Omega, d\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle T, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h u dx. \quad (1)$$

This result is proved by L. Boccardo, D. Giachetti and F. Murat in [15], and extends previous Theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder in [10], who considered the cases where either  $\mu \equiv 0$  or  $h \equiv 0$ . the main tool in order to prove these results is the Hedberg's approximation (in  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  norm) of function  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  by a sequence of functions  $(u_n)_n$  which belong to  $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , have compact support in  $\Omega$  and satisfy  $u_n u \geq 0$ ,  $|u_n| \leq u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Note that an application of the previous theorem to study the following nonlinear variational inequality:

$$u \in K_\Phi, \quad g(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega), \quad u g(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega), \\ \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(v - u) dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \quad \forall v \in K_\Phi \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  is a pseudo-monotone operator acting on  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $K_\Phi = \{v : v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega), v \geq \Psi \text{ a.e in } \Omega\}$ ,  $\Psi \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $g$  satisfies the sign condition  $sg(x, s) \geq 0$  but no growth restriction with respect to  $s$ .

Let us mention that a generalization of the Theorem1.1 and the problem ( 2 ) in the setting of Orlicz-Sobolev space  $W^m L_A(\Omega)$  is studied by A.Benkirane in [1].

Hence, our second purpose is to extend the above Theorem1.1 in the general setting of Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  and also, we give an application of this generalized result in order to study the previous unilateral problem (2) in the Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

## 2 Preliminary

### 2.1 Musielak–Orlicz function

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a real-valued function defined in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $\varphi(x, \cdot)$  is an N-function [convex; increasing; continuous;  $\varphi(x, 0) = 0$ ; ( $\forall t > 0$ )  $\varphi(x, t) > 0$ ;  $\frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ;  $\frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ].

b)  $\varphi(\cdot, t)$  is a measurable function.

A function  $\varphi(x, t)$ , which satisfies the conditions a) and b) is called a Musielak-Orlicz function. Equivalently,  $\varphi$  admits the representation:  $\varphi(y, t) = \int_0^t a(y, \tau) d\tau$ , for all  $y \in \Omega$  and  $t \geq 0$ , where  $a(y, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is non-decreasing, right continuous, with for all  $y \in \Omega$ :  $a(y, 0) = 0, a(y, t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} a(y, t) = +\infty$ . The function  $a(y, \cdot)$  is called the derivative of  $\varphi(y, \cdot)$ . The Musielak-Orlicz function  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition if there exists  $K \geq 2$  such that

$$\varphi(y, 2t) \leq K\varphi(y, t), \text{ for all } y \in \Omega \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

The smallest  $K$  is called the  $\Delta_2$ -constant of  $\varphi$ . When the last inequality holds only for  $t \geq \text{some } t_0 > 0$  then  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition near infinity.

## 2.2 Musielak-Orlicz spaces

Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function, we define the functional

$$\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx,$$

where  $u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  a Lebesgue measurable function. In the following the measurability of a function  $u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  means the Lebesgue measurability.

The set

$$K_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable} / \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) < \infty\}$$

is called the Musielak-Orlicz class. The Musielak-Orlicz spaces  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  is the vector space generated by  $K_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ , that is  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  is the smallest linear space containing the set  $K_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ . Equivalently:

$$L_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable} / \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(\frac{u}{\lambda}) < +\infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

$K_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  is a convex subset of  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ . If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  then  $L_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is denoted by  $L_{\varphi}$ .

Let

$$\varphi^*(x, s) = \sup\{st - \varphi(x, t) \mid t \geq 0\}.$$

That is,  $\varphi^*$  is the Musielak-Orlicz function complementary to  $\varphi$  in the sense of Young with respect to the variable  $s$ . For two complementary Musielak-Orlicz functions  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  the following inequality is called the Young inequality [20]

$$t.s \leq \varphi(x, t) + \varphi^*(x, s) \text{ for all } s, t \geq 0, x \in \Omega. \tag{3}$$

If  $s = a(x, t)$ , then

$$t.a(x, t) = \varphi(x, t) + \varphi^*(x, a(x, t)) \text{ for all } t \geq 0, x \in \Omega. \tag{4}$$

In the space  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  we define the following two norms:

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(\frac{u}{\lambda}) \leq 1\}$$

which is called the Luxemburg norm and the so-called Orlicz norm by:

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\varphi^*, \Omega} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx,$$

where  $\varphi^*$  is the Musielak–Orlicz function complementary to  $\varphi$ . These two norms are equivalent [20].

For two complementary Musielak–Orlicz functions  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  let  $u \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$ , we have the Hölder inequality [20]

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx \right| \leq \|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \|v\|_{\varphi^*, \Omega}. \quad (5)$$

In  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  we have the relation with the norm and the modular:

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \leq \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) + 1, \quad (6)$$

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \leq \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) \text{ , if } \|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} > 1, \quad (7)$$

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \geq \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) \text{ , if } \|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  then  $\|u\|_{\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N}$ ,  $\|u\|_{\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N}$  and  $\varrho_{\varphi, \mathbb{R}^N}(u)$  are denoted respectively by  $\|u\|_{\varphi}$ ,  $\|u\|_{\varphi}$  and  $\varrho_{\varphi}(u)$  ( $\forall u \in L_{\varphi}$ ).

We say that a sequence of function  $u_n \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  is modular convergent to  $u \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  if there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega} \left( \frac{u_n - u}{k} \right) = 0.$$

If  $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition, then modular convergence coincides with norm convergence. The closure in  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  of the set of bounded measurable functions with compact support in  $\bar{\Omega}$  is denoted by  $E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  and it is a separable space. The equality  $K_{\varphi}(\Omega) = E_{\varphi}(\Omega) = L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  holds if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition, for all  $t$  or for large  $t$  according to whether  $\Omega$  has infinite measure or not. The dual of  $E_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  can be identified with  $L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  by means of the pairing  $\int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx$  and the dual norm on  $L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi^*, \Omega}$ . The space  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  is reflexive if and only if  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition, for all  $t$  or for large  $t$  according to whether  $\Omega$  has infinite measure or not.

**Lemma 2.1** [12] *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function and  $f_n, f, g$  are measurable functions.*

(a) *If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere, then  $\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(f) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(f_n)$ .*

(b) *If  $|f_n| \nearrow |f|$  almost everywhere, then  $\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(f_n)$ .*

(c) *If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere,  $|f_n| \leq |g|$  almost everywhere, and  $\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(\lambda g) < \infty$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  strongly in  $L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ .*

**Theorem 2.1** [12] *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function.*

(a)  *$\|f\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \| |f| \|_{\varphi, \Omega}$  for all  $f \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ .*

(b) *If  $f \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ ,  $g$  a measurable function, and  $0 \leq |g| \leq |f|$  almost everywhere, then:*

$$g \in L_{\varphi}(\Omega) \text{ and } \|g\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \leq \|f\|_{\varphi, \Omega}.$$

- (c) If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere, then:  $\|f\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_n\|_{\varphi, \Omega}$ .
- (d) If  $|f_n| \nearrow |f|$  almost everywhere, with  $f_n \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$  and  $\sup_n \|f_n\|_{\varphi, \Omega} < \infty$  then:

$$f \in L_\varphi(\Omega) \text{ and } \|f_n\|_{\varphi, \Omega} \nearrow \|f\|_{\varphi, \Omega}.$$

### 2.3 Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces

For any fixed non-negative integer  $m$  we define

$$W^m L_\varphi(\Omega) = \{u \in L_\varphi(\Omega) : \forall |\alpha| \leq m, D^\alpha u \in L_\varphi(\Omega)\},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with non-negative integer  $\alpha_i$ ,  $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + \dots + |\alpha_n|$  and  $D^\alpha u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$  denote the distributional derivatives of  $u$ . The  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  is called the Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space.

For  $u \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  let:

$$\bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}^m(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(D^\alpha u)$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega}^m = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}^m\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\}.$$

These functionals are a convex modular and a norm on  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , respectively, and the pair  $(W^m L_\varphi(\Omega), \|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega}^m)$  is a Banach space if  $\varphi$  satisfies the following condition [20]:

$$(\exists c > 0) : \inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \geq c. \tag{9}$$

We say that a sequence of functions  $u_n \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  is modular convergent to  $u \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  if there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}^m\left(\frac{u_n - u}{k}\right) = 0.$$

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  then  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ ,  $\bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}^m(u)$  and  $\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega}^m$  are denoted respectively by  $W^m L_\varphi$ ,  $\bar{\varrho}_{\varphi}^m(u)$  and  $\|u\|_{\varphi}^m$ ,  $\forall u \in W^m L_\varphi$ .

**Theorem 2.2** [7] *Let  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  be two complementary Musielak–Orlicz functions such that  $\varphi$  satisfies the conditions (9) and there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \Omega : |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have:*

$$\frac{\varphi(x, t)}{\varphi(y, t)} \leq t^{\frac{A}{\log\left(\frac{1}{|x - y|}\right)}} \tag{10}$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ . If  $D \subset \Omega$  is a bounded measurable set, then  $\int_D \varphi(x, 1) dx < \infty$ .  $\varphi^*$  satisfies the following condition :

$$\exists C > 0 : \varphi^*(x, 1) \leq C \text{ almost everywhere in } \Omega. \tag{11}$$

Under the previous conditions,  $D(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  with respect to the modular topology.

**Theorem 2.3** [7] *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz functions which satisfies the assumptions of theorem 2.2, with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then  $D(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $W^m L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the modular topology.*

## 2.4 Capacity

**Definition 2.1** Let  $T$  the classe of Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and a function  $C : T \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ .

1)  $C$  is called capacity if the following axioms are satisfied:

- i)  $C(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- ii)  $X \subset Y \Rightarrow C(X) \leq C(Y)$ , for all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $T$ .
- iii) For all sequences  $(X_n) \subset T$ :

$$C\left(\bigcup_n X_n\right) \leq \sum_n C(X_n).$$

2)  $C$  is called outer capacity if for all  $X \in T$  :

$$C(X) = \inf\{C(O) : O \supset X, \text{ } O \text{ is open}\}.$$

3)  $C$  is called an interior capacity if for all  $X \subset T$  :

$$C(X) = \sup\{C(K) : K \subset X, \text{ } K \text{ is compact}\}.$$

4) A property, that holds true except perhaps on a set of capacity zero, is said to be true  $C$ -quasi-everywhere, ( abbreviated  $C$ -q.e).

5)  $f$  and  $(f_n)$  are real-valued finite functions  $C$ -q.e. We say that  $(f_n)$  converges to  $f$  in  $C$ -capacity if:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

6)  $f$  and  $(f_n)$  are real-valued function finite  $C$ -q.e. We say that  $(f_n)$  converges to  $f$   $C$ -quasi- uniformly, (abbreviated  $C$ -q.u) if

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0), (\exists X \in T) : C(X) < \varepsilon \text{ and } (f_n) \text{ converges to } f \text{ uniformly on } X^c.$$

## 3 The Main Results

### 3.1 Preliminary lemma

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function which satisfies the condition (9). If  $u, v \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , then  $\max\{u, v\}$  and  $\min\{u, v\}$  are in  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  with  $\forall |\alpha| \leq m$ :*

$$D^\alpha \max\{u, v\}(x) = \begin{cases} D^\alpha u(x), & \text{for almost every } x \in \{u \geq v\}; \\ D^\alpha v(x), & \text{for almost every } x \in \{v \geq u\}; \end{cases}$$

and

$$D^\alpha \min\{u, v\}(x) = \begin{cases} D^\alpha u(x), & \text{for almost every } x \in \{u \leq v\}; \\ D^\alpha v(x), & \text{for almost every } x \in \{v \leq u\}. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $|u|$  belongs to  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the assertions for  $\max\{u, v\}$  since  $\min\{u, v\} = -\max\{-u, -v\}$ . We have  $\max\{u, v\} \leq |u| + |v|$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ , and  $(|u| + |v|) \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , then by Theorem 2.1 we obtain  $\max\{u, v\} \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

On the other hand we have  $|D^\alpha \max(u, v)| \leq |D^\alpha u| + |D^\alpha v|$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ , and  $(|D^\alpha u| + |D^\alpha v|) \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , then by Theorem 2.1 we obtain  $D^\alpha \max\{u, v\} \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

Thus

$$\max\{u, v\} \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega).$$

For  $|u| \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  it suffices to note that  $|u| = \max\{u, 0\} - \min\{u, 0\}$ .

### 3.2 Capacity in Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev space

In this section,  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\varphi$  is a Musielak–Orlicz function which satisfies the condition (9).

**Definition 3.1** The Sobolev  $\varphi$ -capacity of the set,  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is defined by :

$$C_\varphi(E) = \inf_{u \in A_\varphi(E)} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u),$$

where

$$A_\varphi(E) = \{u \in W^m L_\varphi : u \geq 1 \text{ on an open set containing } E \text{ and } u \geq 0\}.$$

If  $A_\varphi(E) = \emptyset$  we set  $C_\varphi(E) = \infty$ . Functions belonging to  $A_\varphi(E)$  are called admissible functions for  $E$ .

**Remark 3.1** In the definition of the capacity  $C_\varphi$ , we can restrict ourselves to those admissible functions  $u$  for which,  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ . Indeed, if  $A'_\varphi(E) = \{u \in A_\varphi(E) : 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$ , then  $A'_\varphi(E) \subset A_\varphi(E)$  implies

$$C_\varphi(E) \leq \inf_{u \in A'_\varphi(E)} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u).$$

For the reverse inequality, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take  $u \in A_\varphi(E)$  such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u) \leq C_\varphi(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, we have  $v = \max(0, \min(u, 1))$  belongs to  $A'_\varphi(E)$ .

Therefore,

$$\inf_{\omega \in A'_\varphi(E)} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(\omega) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(v) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u) \leq C_\varphi(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\inf_{\omega \in A'_\varphi(E)} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(\omega) \leq C_\varphi(E).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . If there exists  $f \in W^m L_\varphi$  such that  $f = +\infty$  on  $E$ , then  $C_\varphi(E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** If there exists  $f \in W^m L_\varphi$  such that  $f = +\infty$  on  $E$ , then  $f \geq \alpha$  on  $E$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . Therefore,  $\forall \alpha > 0 : C_\varphi(E) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right)$ .

Let  $\alpha > 1$ , we have  $\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}\left(\frac{f}{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(f)$ , then  $0 \leq C_\varphi(E) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(f)$ .

Letting  $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $C_\varphi(E) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let us consider the following propositions:*

- i)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^m L_\varphi$ .
  - ii)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $C_\varphi$ -capacity.
  - iii) there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_j})$  such that :  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ ,  $C_\varphi$ -q.u.
  - iv)  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ ,  $C_\varphi$ -q.e.
- We have  $i) \Rightarrow ii) \Rightarrow iii) \Rightarrow iv)$ .

**Proof.** Let show that  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ . By Theorem 3.1 we have  $f$  and  $f_n$  are finite for every  $n$ ;  $C_\varphi$ -q.e.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$C_\varphi(\{x : |f_n - f|(x) > \varepsilon\}) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}\left(\frac{f_n - f}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ ,

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) : \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}\left(\frac{f_n - f}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(\{x : |f_n - f|(x) > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

Let show that  $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists f_{n_j}$  such that  $C_\varphi(\{x : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) > 2^{-j}\}) < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-j}$ .

We put

$$E_j = \{x : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) > 2^{-j}\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_m = \bigcup_{j \geq m} E_j,$$

we have  $C_\varphi(G_m) \leq \sum_{j \geq m} \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-j} < \varepsilon$ .

On the other hand,

$$(\forall x \in (G_m)^c) : |f_{n_j} - f|(x) \leq 2^{-j}, (\forall j \geq m).$$

Thus

$$f_{n_j} \rightarrow f \quad C_\varphi\text{-q.u.}$$

Let show that  $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$ . We have  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists X_j : C_\varphi(X_j) \leq \frac{1}{j}$  and  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$  on  $(X_j)^c$ .

We put  $X = \bigcap_j X_j$ , then  $C_\varphi(X) = 0$  and  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$  on  $X^c$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function, uniformly convex that satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition. If  $f_n, f \in W^m L_\varphi$  such that  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$  weakly in  $W^m L_\varphi$ , then*

$$\liminf (f_n)(x) \leq f(x) \leq \limsup f_n(x) \quad C_\varphi\text{-q.e.}$$



**Proof.**  $(W^m L_\varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  is uniformly convex, therefore reflexive. By the Banach–Saks theorem, there is a subsequence denoted again by  $(f_n)$  such that the sequence  $g_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$  converges to  $f$  strongly in  $W^m L_\varphi$ . By Theorem 3.2, there is a subsequence of  $(g_n)$  denoted again  $(g_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n(x) = f(x) \quad C_\varphi - q.e.$$

On the other hand,

$$\liminf f_n(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) \leq f(x) \quad C_\varphi - q.e.$$

For the second inequality, it suffices to replace  $f_n$  by  $(-f_n)$  in the first inequality.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function, uniformly convex which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition. Let  $(X_n)$  be an increasing sequence of sets and  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(X_n) = C_\varphi(X).$$

**Proof.** We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(X_n) \leq C_\varphi(X)$ . For the reverse inequality, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(X_n) = +\infty$ , there is nothing to show.

Assuming that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(X_n) < +\infty$ , we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists f_n \in W^m L_\varphi : f_n \geq 1 \text{ on } X_n \text{ and } \bar{q}_{m,\varphi}(f_n) \leq C_\varphi(X_n) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now  $(f_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $W^m L_\varphi$ , hence there exists a subsequence, which we denote again by  $(f_n)$ , which converges weakly to a function  $f \in W^m L_\varphi$ . Thus

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(f) \leq \liminf_n \bar{q}_{m,\varphi}(f_n).$$

On the other hand by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f \geq 1 \text{ on } X_n, C_\varphi - q.e.$$

Therefore,  $f \geq 1$  on  $X$   $C_\varphi - q.e.$

Let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$  where  $f \geq 1$ , then  $C_\varphi(X) = C_\varphi(Y)$ . Thus,

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(f) \leq \lim_n (C_\varphi(X_n) + \frac{1}{n}).$$

Hence

$$C_\varphi(X) \leq \lim_n (C_\varphi(X_n)).$$

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function, uniformly convex which satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition.  $C_\varphi$  is an outer capacity.*

**Proof.** It is obvious that  $C_\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $C_\varphi(X) \leq C_\varphi(Y)$  if  $X \subset Y$ .

To prove the countable sub-additivity, suppose that  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We may assume that  $\sum_i C_{k,\varphi}(X_i) < +\infty$ , then

$$C_{k,\varphi}(X_i) < +\infty; \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next we choose  $u_i \in A_\varphi(E_i)$  so that

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u_i) \leq C_\varphi(E_i) + \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-i}; \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v_k = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} u_i$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have  $v_k \in A_\varphi(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i)$ .

Thus,

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(v_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (C_\varphi(E_i) + \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_\varphi(E_i) + (\varepsilon(1 - (\frac{1}{2})^k)).$$

Then,

$$C_\varphi(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_\varphi(E_i) + \varepsilon.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$C_\varphi(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k C_\varphi(E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_\varphi(E_i).$$

Since  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i)$  increase to  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$ , by Theorem 3.4 we obtain:

$$C_\varphi(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_\varphi(E_i).$$

It remains to prove that  $C_\varphi$  is outer. Indeed, by monotonicity we have:

$$(\forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^N) : C_\varphi(E) \leq \inf\{C_\varphi(O) : O \supset E, \quad O \text{ is open}\}.$$

For the reverse inequality, if  $C_\varphi(E) = +\infty$ , there is nothing to show.

Assume that  $C_\varphi(E) < +\infty$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take  $u \in A_\varphi(E)$  such that

$$\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u) \leq C_\varphi(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $u \in A_\varphi(E)$ , there is an open set  $O$  containing  $E$  such that  $u \geq 1$  on  $O$ , which implies that

$$C_\varphi(O) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u) \leq C_\varphi(E) + \varepsilon.$$

The inequality follows by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $(K_n)$  be a decreasing sequence of compacts and  $K = \bigcap_n K_n$ . Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(K_n) = C_\varphi(K).$$

**Proof.** First, we observe that  $C_\varphi(K) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(K_n)$ . On the other hand, let  $O$  be an open set containing  $K$ . By the compactness of  $K$ ,  $K_i \subset O$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} C_\varphi(K_n) \leq C_\varphi(O)$ , and since  $C_\varphi$  is an outer capacity, we obtain the claim by taking infimum over all open set  $O$  containing  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function.*

$$(\exists c > 0)(\forall X \subset \mathbb{R}^N) : |X| \leq c.C_\varphi(X),$$

where  $|X|$  is the Lebesgue’s measure of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u \in A_\varphi(X)$ , we have  $u \geq 1$  on  $X$  and  $\varrho_\varphi(u) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u)$ . But  $\varrho_\varphi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varrho_\varphi(y, |u(y)|)dy$ , then

$$\varrho_\varphi(u) \geq \int_X \varrho_\varphi(y, |u(y)|)dy \geq \int_X \varrho_\varphi(y, 1)dy.$$

By the inequality (9) there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(y, 1) \geq c$ . Therefore,  $\varrho_\varphi(u) \geq c.|X|$ . Thus,

$$c.|X| \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u).$$

The claim follows by passing to inf on  $u \in A_\varphi(X)$ .

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function. If  $(f_n)_n$  is a sequence which converges to  $f$  in  $W^m L_\varphi$ , then there exists a subsequence of  $(f_n)_n$  which converge to  $f$  almost everywhere.*

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function which satisfies the condition  $\Delta_2$  and the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. For each  $f \in W^m L_\varphi$ , there is a  $C_\varphi$ -quasicontinuous function  $g \in W^m L_\varphi$  such that  $f = g$  almost everywhere.*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in W^m L_\varphi$ . By Theorem 2.3, there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $D(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^m L_\varphi$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exists a subsequence of  $(f_n)$  denoted again by  $(f_n)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $C_\varphi - q.u.$  The claim follows by Theorem 3.7.

**Remark 3.2** By theorem 2.6 in [7], we have the same result if we replace  $W^m L_\varphi$ , by  $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function, uniformly convex which satisfies the condition  $\Delta_2$*

1) *If  $O$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|E| = 0$ , then*

$$C_\varphi(O) = C_\varphi(O - E).$$

2) Let  $u$  and  $v$  are  $C_\varphi$ -quasicontinuous functions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have  
 i) if  $u = v$ , almost everywhere in an open set  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , then

$$u = v \text{ } C_\varphi - \text{quasieverywhere in } O,$$

ii) if  $u \leq v$ , almost everywhere in an open set  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , then

$$u \leq v \text{ } C_\varphi - \text{quasieverywhere in } O.$$

**Proof.** 1) It obvious that  $C_\varphi(O) \geq C_\varphi(O - E)$ . Let  $u \in A_\varphi(O - E)$  thus  $u \geq 1$  in an open containing  $O - E$ . Let the function  $f$  define as

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = u(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N - E \\ f(x) = 1, & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

We have  $f \in A_\varphi(O)$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(f) = \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u)$ , thus

$$C_\varphi(O) \leq \bar{\rho}_{m,\varphi}(u),$$

and by passing to inf we get  $C_\varphi(O) \leq C_\varphi(O - E)$ .

2) Since  $C_\varphi$  is an outer capacity we get the results by [16].

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function which satisfies the condition (9),  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  condition and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $T \in W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega) \cap M(\Omega)$ , where  $M(\Omega)$  denote the set of Radon measures in  $\Omega$ . If  $X \subset \Omega$  is such that  $C_\varphi(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  is  $|T|$ -measurable and  $|T|(X) = 0$ .

**Proof.** It is the same as in [19] and [10].

### 3.3 Theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder type in Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces

In this section we generalize the theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder [10] in the setting of the Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces  $W^mL_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this section we study the following question: let  $w \in W_0^mL_\varphi(\Omega)$  and  $T \in W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  such that  $T = \mu + h$ , where  $\mu$  lie in  $M^+(\Omega)$  (the subset of positive Radon measures) and  $h$  lie  $L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ ; find sufficient conditions on the data in order for  $w$  to belong  $L^1(\Omega; d\mu)$ , for  $hw$  to belong to  $L^1(\Omega)$  and finally to have:

$$\langle T, w \rangle = \int_\Omega w d\mu + \int_\Omega h w dx.$$

This question was solved in [15] in the case of the classical Sobolev spaces, in [5] when  $\mu = 0$  in the case of Orlicz–Sobolev spaces and in [1] in the case of Orlicz–Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $\varphi$  be a Musielak–Orlicz function which satisfies the condition (9),  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  condition and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $w \in W_0^mL_\varphi(\Omega)$ ,  $w \geq 0$  a.e in  $\Omega$  and  $T \in W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  such that  $T = \mu + h$ , where  $\mu$  lie in  $M^+(\Omega)$  (the subset of positive Radon measures) and  $h \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ , assume that:

$$hw \geq -|\Phi| \text{ a.e in } \Omega \text{ for some } \Phi \text{ in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (12)$$

Then:

$$hw \in L^1(\Omega), w \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu) \text{ and } \langle T, w \rangle = \int_\Omega w d\mu + \int_\Omega h w dx. \quad (13)$$

**Remark 3.3** Note that  $\mu(X) = 0$  for all  $X \subset \Omega$  such that  $C_\varphi(X) = 0$ . Indeed by Lemma 3.2

$$|T|(X) = |\mu + h|(X) = 0,$$

but

$$0 \leq \mu(X) \leq |h|(X) + |\mu + h|(X) = 0.$$

Let prove Theorem 3.10.

**Proof.** Let  $w \in W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , the Lemma 2.4 of [9] yields the existence of a sequence  $w_n$  such that:

- (i)  $w_n \in W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{supp } w_n$  is compact,
- (iii)  $|w_n| \leq |w|$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,
- (v)  $w_n \rightarrow w$  in  $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .
- (vi)  $w_n w \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Following the lines of [15], it is easy to deduce that

$$\langle \mu + h, w_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} w_n d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h w_n dx. \tag{14}$$

Since  $w_n \rightarrow w$  in  $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ , by using the Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3 we have

$$w_n \rightarrow w \quad \mu.a.e \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{15}$$

We recall that by Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.7, for any  $v \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  one has

$$v \geq 0 \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega \Leftrightarrow v \geq 0 \quad q.e. \text{ in } \Omega.$$

This equivalence, Remark 3.3 and the fact  $(w \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega)$ , imply

$$w_n \geq 0 \quad a.e. \quad , \quad w_n \geq 0 \quad \mu.a.e. \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq w_n \leq w \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{16}$$

On the other hand from  $hw \geq -|\Phi|$  and  $0 \leq w_n \leq w \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$  we have

$$h w_n \geq -|\Phi| \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega \tag{17}$$

Since  $\langle \mu + h, w_n \rangle$  is bounded, (14) and (16) imply  $\int_{\Omega} h w_n dx \leq cst$ ; Similarly (14) and (17) imply  $\int_{\Omega} w_n d\mu \leq cst$ .

By using (15), (16), (17) and Fatou's lemma we get  $hw \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $w \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu)$ . Using  $0 \leq w_n \leq w \quad \mu.a.e. \text{ in } \Omega$  and  $|h w_n| \leq |h w|$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , it is now easy to pass to the limit in (14); we use the convergence of  $w_n$  to  $w$  in  $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  for the left hand side and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem in each term of the right hand side: we obtain

$$\langle T, w \rangle = \int_{\Omega} w d\mu + \int_{\Omega} h w dx.$$

### 3.4 Application to unilateral problem

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\varphi$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function which satisfies the condition (9),  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^*$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  condition.

We consider some right hand side  $f \in W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  and the convex set

$$K_{\Phi} = \{v \in W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega), v \geq \Phi \text{ a.e in } \Omega\},$$

where the obstacle  $\Phi$  belong to  $W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Let a pseudo-monotone mapping  $S$  from  $W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$ . which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $S$  is continuous from each finite-dimensional subspace of  $W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{-m}L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  for the weak\* topology.
- (2)  $S$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets.
- (3)  $S$  is coercive, i.e that for some  $v_0$  in  $K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\frac{\langle S(v), v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|_{W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)}} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|v\|_{W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)} \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (18)$$

Consider finally a carathéodory function  $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  witch satisfies :

- (4)  $s.g(x, s) \geq 0, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$  and a.e in  $\Omega$ ,
- (5)  $h_t = \sup_{|s| \leq t} |g(x, s)| \in L^1(\Omega) \forall t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.11** *The variational inequality:*

$$u \in K_{\Phi}, g(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega), ug(\cdot, u) \in L^1(\Omega)$$

$$\langle Su, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(v - u)dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle, \forall v \in K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

has at least one solution.

**Proof. First part** *Approximation and a priori estimates.*

$$\text{Define } g_n(x, s) = \begin{cases} \chi_n(x)g(x, s) & \text{if } |g(x, s)| \leq n, \\ \chi_n(x)n \frac{g(x, s)}{|g(x, s)|} & \text{if } |g(x, s)| > n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi_n$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\{x \in \Omega : |x| \leq n\}$

By by using the proposition 1 of [14] we have the approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in K_{\Phi}, \\ \langle Su_n, v - u_n \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(v - u_n)dx \geq \langle f, v - u_n \rangle, \forall v \in K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

has at least one solution. Using  $v = v_0$  as test function in (19) we get

$$\langle Su_n, u_n - v_0 \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \leq \langle f, u_n - v_0 \rangle. \quad (20)$$

If  $(u_n)$  is not bonded in  $W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$  then by the assumptions (3) we have

$$(\forall A > 0)(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}) : (\forall n \geq n_0) \left( \frac{\langle S(u_n), u_n - v_0 \rangle}{\|u_n\|_{W_0^m L_{\varphi}(\Omega)}} > A \right). \quad (21)$$

Let  $E_n = \{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) \geq 0\}$ , by (20) and (21) we have for large  $n$  :

$$A\|u_n\|_{W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)} + \int_{E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx + \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx + \|f\|_{W^{-m} L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)}\|u_n\|_{W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{W^{-m} L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)}\|v_0\|_{W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)}$$

Let  $G_n = \{x \in \Omega : u_n(x) \geq v_0\}$  and  $l = \sup(|v_0|, |\Phi|)$ .

By the assumptions (4) and (5) we have

$$\int_{E_n \cap G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \geq 0,$$

$$\int_{E_n \cap G_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx \geq 0,$$

$$\int_{E_n \cap G_n^c} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |h|_l \|L^\infty(\Omega)\| v_0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)u_n dx \geq 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega - E_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |h|_\Phi \|L^\infty(\Omega)\| v_0.$$

Then we get

$$\|u_n\|_{W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)} \leq C_1, \forall n \geq n_0,$$

which is impossible, thus  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ .

It follows that there exists a subsequence, again denoted by  $u_n$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{ weakly in } W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus

$$g_n(x, u_n(x)) \rightarrow g(x, u(x)) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

From (20) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \leq C_2. \tag{22}$$

We shall prove

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)|dx \leq C_3.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)|dx &= \int_{G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx - \int_{\Omega - G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\Omega - G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(u_n - v_0)dx \\ &\leq C_2 + 2 \int_{\Omega - G_n} g_n(\cdot, u_n)v_0 dx \\ &\leq C_2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} |h|_b \|L^\infty\| v_0 dx = C_3, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where  $b = \sup(|\Phi|, |v_0|)$ .

In order to prove

$$g_n(\cdot, u_n) \longrightarrow g(\cdot, u) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \quad (24)$$

let us observe that, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$|g_n(x, u_n(x))| \leq \sup_{|t| \leq \delta^{-1} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}} |g(\cdot, t)| + \delta |g_n(x, u_n(x))(u_n(x) - v_0(x))|,$$

and there fore, for any measurable set  $E$  in  $\Omega$  we have

$$\int_E |g_n(\cdot, u_n)| dx \leq \int_E |h_{\frac{1}{\delta} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}}| + \delta C_3.$$

By Vitali's theorem, we obtain (24).

Furthermore by (22) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n) u_n dx \leq C_2 + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n) v_0 dx.$$

By Fatou's lemma and (24), we get

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u) u dx \leq C_2 + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u) v_0 dx.$$

Thus

$$g(\cdot, u) u \in L^1(\Omega).$$

**Second part :** *Passing to the limit in (19)*

Let

$$\mu_n = Su_n - f + g_n(\cdot, u_n).$$

From (19) it is clear that  $\mu_n \in M^+(\Omega)$ . Since  $S$  maps bounded sets of  $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$  in to bounded sets of  $W^{-m} L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$ , then we can assume for the same sequence that

$$Su_n \rightharpoonup \chi \text{ weakly in } W^{-m} L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega),$$

which implies that

$$\mu_n \longrightarrow \mu \text{ in } D'(\Omega),$$

where

$$\mu = \chi - f + g(\cdot, u).$$

We put  $w = u - \Phi$ ,  $h = -g(\cdot, u)$  and  $T = \mu + h$ .

The assumptions of theorem 3.10 are satisfied since  $T = \chi - f \in W^{-m} L_{\varphi^*}(\Omega)$  and  $h \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Thus

$$\begin{cases} u - \Phi \in L^1(\Omega; d\mu), \\ \langle \chi - f, u - \Phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (u - \Phi) d\mu - \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(u - \Phi) dx. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Using  $v = \Phi$  as test function in (19) we get

$$\langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \leq \langle Su_n, \Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi - u_n \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_n(\cdot, u_n)(\Phi - u_n),$$



which gives passing to the limit and then using (25)

$$\begin{cases} \limsup_n \langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \leq \langle \chi, \Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u)(\Phi - u) dx, \\ \leq \langle \chi, u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} (\Phi - u) d\mu \leq \langle \chi, u \rangle; \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

since, by theorem 3.9 we have

$$(\Phi - u) \leq 0 \quad \mu.a.e. \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (27)$$

Using (26) and since  $S$  is a pseudo-monotone operator, we obtain

$$\chi = Su \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Su_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle Su, u \rangle.$$

It is now easy to pass to the limit in (19) for any fixed  $v \in K_{\Phi} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

## References

- [1] Benkirane, A. A theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder type in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and application. *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.* **343** (1996) 10–16.
- [2] Adams, D.R. and Hedberg, L.I. *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*. Springer, 1999.
- [3] Aissaoui, N. and Benkirane, A. Capacité dans les espaces d'Orlicz. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec* **18** (1) (1994) 1–23.
- [4] Aissaoui, N. and Benkirane, A. Potentiel non lineaire dans les espaces d'Orlicz. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec* **18** (2) (1994) 105–118.
- [5] Benkirane, A. and Gossez, J.P. An approximation theorem for higher order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Studia Math.* **92** (1989) 231–255.
- [6] Azroul, E., Benboubker, M.B. and Ouaro, S. The Obstacle Problem Associated with Non-linear Elliptic Equations in Generalized Sobolev Spaces. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* **14** (3) (2014) 224–243.
- [7] Benkirane, A. and M. Ould Mohamedhen Val. Some approximation properties in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Thai Journal of Mathematics* (2012) 371–381.
- [8] Benkirane, A. and M. Ould Mohamedhen Val. An approximation theorem in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Commentationes Mathematicae* (2011) 109–120.
- [9] Benkirane, A., Mohameden Sidi El Vally and M.L. Ahmed Oubeid. Nonlinear Elliptic Equations Involving Measure Data in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces. *Journal of Abstract Differential Equations and Applications* **4** (1) (2013) 43–57.
- [10] Brezis, H. and F. Browder. Some properties of higher-order Sobolev spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (1982) 245–259.
- [11] Choquet, G. Forme abstraite de théoreme de capacitaibilité. *Annales de l'institut Fourier* (1959) 83–89.
- [12] Diening, L., Harjulehto, P., HÄSTÖ, P. and Rudicka, M. Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **2017**. Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [13] Fumi-Yuki Maeda, Yoshihiro Mizuta, Takao Ohno and Tetsu Shimomura. Capacity for potentiels of functions in Musielak-Orlicz space. *Nonlinear Analysis* **74** (2011) 6231–6243.
- [14] Gossez, J.P. and V. Mustonen. Variational inequality in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Appl.* (1987) 379–392.

- [15] Boccardo, L., Giachetti, D. and Murat, F. A generalisation of a theorem of H. Brezis and F. Browder and applications to some unilateral problems. *Anales de l'I.H.P., section C* **7** (4) (1990) 367–384.
- [16] Kilpelinen, T. A remark on the uniqueness of quasi continuous functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **23** (1998) 261–262.
- [17] Maz'ya, V.G. and Khavin, V.P. Nonlinear potential theory. *Uspekhi Math. Nauk.* **27** (1972) 67–138.
- [18] Hassib, M.C. and Akdim, Y., Benkirane, A. and Aissaoui, N. Capacity and Non-linear Potential in Musielak-Orlicz Spaces. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* **16** (3) (2016) 276–293.
- [19] Grun-Rehorme, M. Caractérisation du sous-différentiel d'intégrandes convexes dans les espaces de Sobolev. *J. Math. Pures et appl.* (1977) 149–156.
- [20] Musielak, J. Modular Spaces and Orlicz Spaces. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1034** (1983).
- [21] Meyers, N.G. A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes. *Math. Scand.* **26** (1970) 255–292.