



Parabolic Equations with Measure Data and Three Unbounded Nonlinearities in Weighted Sobolev Spaces

Y. Akdim¹, J. Bennouna², M. Mekhour^{1*} and H. Redwane³

¹ *Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Poly-disciplinary Faculty of Taza, Laboratory LSI, Department MPI, P.O. Box 1223 Taza Gare, Morocco*

² *Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Laboratory LAMA, Department of Mathematics, B.P. 1796, Atlas Fez, Morocco.*

³ *Faculté des Sciences Juridiques, Economiques et Sociales. Université Hassan 1, B.P. 784, Settat. Morocco*

Received: June 17, 2014; Revised: April 2, 2015

Abstract: In this work, we study the degenerated problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, Du)) + H(x, t, u, Du) &= \mu \quad \text{in } Q, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ b(x, u)(t = 0) &= b(x, u_0) \quad \text{on } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

in the framework of weighted Sobolev space. The main contribution of our work is to prove the existence of a renormalized solution without the sign condition and the coercivity condition on $H(x, t, u, Du)$. The critical growth condition on H is with respect to Du and no growth with respect to u . The datum μ is assumed in $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega, w^*))$ and $b(x, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Keywords: *nonlinear parabolic equation; weighted Sobolev spaces; renormalized solutions.*

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35K61, 35R06, 34B1.

* Corresponding author: <mailto:mekhour.mounir@yahoo.fr>

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , p be a real number such that $2 < p < \infty$, $Q = \Omega \times [0, T]$ and $w = \{w_i(x) : 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ be a vector of weight functions (i.e., every component $w_i(x)$ is a measurable almost everywhere strictly positive function on Ω), satisfying some integrability conditions (see Section 2). Let $Au = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, Du))$ be a Leray-Lions operator defined from the weighted Sobolev space $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$ into its dual $L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$.

Now, we consider the degenerated parabolic problem associated with the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t} + Au + H(x, t, u, Du) &= \mu \quad \text{in } Q, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times]0, T[, \\ b(x, u)(t=0) &= b(x, u_0) \quad \text{on } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In problem (2), the data μ and $b(x, u_0)$ are in $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$ and $L^1(\Omega)$. The operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, Du))$ is a Leray-Lions operator which is coercive, $b(x, u)$ is unbounded function on u , H is a nonlinear lower order term and $\mu = f - \operatorname{div}F$ with $f \in L^1(Q)$, $F \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$.

Problem (2) is studied in [2] with $\mu \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$ and under the strong hypothesis relatively to H , more precisely they supposed that $b(x, u) = u$ and the non-linearity H satisfying the sign condition

$$H(x, t, s, \xi)s \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

and the growth condition of the form

$$|H(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq b(s) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p + c(x, t) \right). \tag{4}$$

In the case where the second member $f \in L^1(Q)$, (2) is studied in [2].

It is our purpose to prove the existence of renormalized solution for (2) in the setting of the weighted Sobolev space without the sign condition (3), and without the following coercivity condition

$$|H(x, t, s, \xi)| \geq \beta \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p \quad \text{for } |s| \geq \gamma, \tag{5}$$

our growth condition on H is simpler than (4) it is a growth with respect to Du and no growth condition with respect to u (see assumption (H3) below), the second term μ belongs to $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$. Note that our paper generalizes [2].

In the case of $H(x, t, u, Du) = \operatorname{div}(\phi(u))$ is studied by H. Redwane in the classical Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and Orlicz spaces see [18, 20].

The notion of renormalized solution was introduced by DiPerna and Lions [11] in their study of the Boltzmann equation. This notion was then adapted to an elliptic version of (2) by Boccardo et al [7] when the right hand side is in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, by Rakotoson [18] when the right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega)$, and finally by Dal Maso, Murat, Orsina and Prignet [10] for the case of the right hand side being general measure data. Our paper can be considered as a continuation of [3–5] in the case where $F = 0$.

2 Preliminaries

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , p be a real number such that $2 < p < \infty$ and $w = \{w_i(x), 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ be a vector of weight functions; i.e., every component $w_i(x)$ is a measurable function which is strictly positive a.e. in Ω . Further, we suppose in all our considerations that, there exists

$$r_0 > \max(N, p) \quad \text{such that } w_i^{\frac{-r_0}{r_0-p}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \tag{6}$$

$$w_i \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \tag{7}$$

$$w_i^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \tag{8}$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq N$. We denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ the space of real-valued functions $u \in L^p(\Omega, w_0)$ such that the derivatives in the sense of distributions fulfill

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\Omega, w_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Which is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left[\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w_0(x) \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) \, dx \right]^{1/p}. \tag{9}$$

Condition (7) implies that $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is a space of $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and consequently, we can introduce the subspace $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ of $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (9). Moreover, condition (8) implies that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ as well as $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ are reflexive Banach spaces.

We recall that the dual space of weighted Sobolev spaces $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ is equivalent to $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$, where $w^* = \{w_i^* = w_i^{1-p'}, i = 0, \dots, N\}$ and where p' is the conjugate of p ; i.e., $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, (see [13]).

3 Basic Assumptions

Assumption (H1)

For $2 \leq p < \infty$, we assume that the expression

$$\| |u| \|_V = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \tag{10}$$

is a norm defined on V which is equivalent to the norm (9), and there exists a weight function σ on Ω such that, $\sigma \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\sigma^{-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$. We assume also the Hardy inequality

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \sigma \, dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \tag{11}$$

holds for every $u \in V$ with a constant $c > 0$ independent of u , and moreover, the imbedding

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \sigma), \tag{12}$$

expressed by the inequality (11) is compact. Notice that $(V, \| |\cdot| \|_V)$ is a uniformly convex (and thus reflexive) Banach space.

Remark 3.1 If we assume that $w_0(x) \equiv 1$ and in addition the integrability condition: There exists $\nu \in]\frac{N}{p}, +\infty[\cap]\frac{1}{p-1}, +\infty[$ such that

$$w_i^{-\nu} \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad w_i^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (13)$$

Notice that the assumptions (7) and (13) imply

$$\|u\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad (14)$$

which is a norm defined on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ and its equivalent to (9) and that, the imbedding

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad (15)$$

is compact for all $1 \leq q \leq p_1^*$ if $p\nu < N(\nu + 1)$ and for all $q \geq 1$ if $p\nu \geq N(\nu + 1)$ where $p_1 = \frac{p\nu}{\nu+1}$ and p_1^* is the Sobolev conjugate of p_1 ; see [12, pp. 30-31].

Assumption (H2)

$$b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{is a Carathéodory function} \quad (16)$$

such that for every $x \in \Omega$, $b(x, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing C^1 -function with $b(x, 0) = 0$. Next, for any $k > 0$, there exists $\lambda_k > 0$ and functions $A_k \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $B_k \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_k \leq \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| D_x \left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_k(x) \quad (17)$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every s such that $|s| \leq k$, we denote by $D_x \left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \right)$ the gradient of $\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s}$ defined in the sense of distributions. For $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$|a_i(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \beta w_i^{1/p}(x) [k(x, t) + \sigma^{1/p'} |s|^{q/p'} + \sum_{j=1}^N w_j^{1/p'}(x) |\xi_j|^{p-1}], \quad (18)$$

for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$, all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, some function $k(x, t) \in L^{p'}(Q)$ and $\beta > 0$, here σ and q are as in (H1).

$$[a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \eta)](\xi - \eta) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \neq \eta, \quad (19)$$

$$a(x, t, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N w_i |\xi_i|^p, \quad (20)$$

where α is a strictly positive constant.

Assumption (H3)

Furthermore, let $H(x, t, s, \xi) : Q \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function such that for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the growth condition

$$|H(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma(x, t) + g(s) \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p, \quad (21)$$

is satisfied, where $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a bounded continuous positive function that belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, while $\gamma(x, t)$ belongs to $L^1(Q)$.

We recall that, for $k > 1$ and s in \mathbb{R} , the truncation is defined as

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

4 Some Technical Results

Characterization of the time mollification of a function u .

In order to deal with time derivative, we introduce a time mollification of a function u belonging to a some weighted Lebesgue space. Thus we define for all $\mu \geq 0$ and all $(x, t) \in Q$,

$$u_\mu = \mu \int_\infty^t \tilde{u}(x, s) \exp(\mu(s - t)) ds \text{ where } \tilde{u}(x, s) = u(x, s) \chi_{(0, T)}(s).$$

Proposition 4.1 [2]

1) if $u \in L^p(Q, w_i)$ then u_μ is measurable in Q and $\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial t} = \mu(u - u_\mu)$ and

$$\|u_\mu\|_{L^p(Q, w_i)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(Q, w_i)}.$$

2) If $u \in W_0^{1,p}(Q, w)$, then $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(Q, w)$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.

3) If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(Q, w)$, then $(u_n)_\mu \rightarrow u_\mu$ in $W_0^{1,p}(Q, w)$.

Some weighted embedding and compactness results.

In this section we establish some embedding and compactness results in weighted Sobolev spaces, some trace results, Aubin’s and Simon’s results [21].

Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$, $H = L^2(\Omega, \sigma)$ and let $V^* = W^{-1,p'}$ with $(2 \leq p < \infty)$.

Let $X = L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$. The dual space of X is $X^* = L^{p'}(0, T, V^*)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and denoting the space $W_p^1(0, T, V, H) = \{v \in X : v' \in X^*\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_p^1} = \|u\|_X + \|u'\|_{X^*},$$

which is a Banach space. Here u' stands for the generalized derivative of u , i.e.,

$$\int_0^T u'(t) \varphi(t) dt = - \int_0^T u(t) \varphi'(t) dt \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, T).$$

Lemma 4.1 [19]

- 1) The evolution triple $V \subseteq H \subseteq V^*$ is verified.
- 2) The imbedding $W_p^1(0, T, V, H) \subseteq C(0, T, H)$ is continuous.
- 3) The imbedding $W_p^1(0, T, V, H) \subseteq L^p(Q, \sigma)$ is compact.

Lemma 4.2 [2] Let $g \in L^r(Q, \gamma)$ and let $g_n \in L^r(Q, \gamma)$, with $\|g_n\|_{L^r(Q, \gamma)} \leq C$, $1 < r < \infty$. If $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ a.e in Q , then $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^r(Q, \gamma)$

Lemma 4.3 [2]. *Assume that*

$$\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial t} = \alpha_n + \beta_n \text{ in } D'(Q),$$

where α_n and β_n are bounded respectively in X^* and in $L^1(Q)$. If v_n is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$, then $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L_{loc}^p(Q, \sigma)$. Further $v_n \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$.

Definition 4.1 Let $f \in L^1(Q)$, $F \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$ and $b(x, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. A real-valued function u defined on Q is a renormalized solution of problem (2) if

$$T_k(u) \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)) \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \text{ and } b(x, u) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega)), \quad (22)$$

$$\int_{\{m \leq |u| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u, Du) Du dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(S'(u)a(x, t, u, Du)) + S''(u)a(x, t, u, Du)Du$$

$$+ H(x, t, u, Du)S'(u) = fS'(u) - \operatorname{div}(S'(u)F) + S''(u)FDu \text{ in } D'(Q), \quad (24)$$

for all functions $S \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is piecewise C^1 and such that S' has a compact support in \mathbb{R} , where $B_S(x, z) = \int_0^z \frac{\partial b(x, r)}{\partial r} S'(r) dr$ and

$$B_S(x, u)(t=0) = B_S(x, u_0) \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (25)$$

Remark 4.1 Equation (24) is formally obtained through pointwise multiplication of equation (2) by $S'(u)$. However, while $a(x, t, u, Du)$ and $H(x, t, u, Du)$ do not in general make sense in (2), all the terms in (2) have a meaning in $D'(Q)$. Indeed, if M is such that $\operatorname{supp} S' \subset [-M, M]$, the following identifications are made in (24):

- $S(u)$ belongs to $L^\infty(Q)$ since S is a bounded function.
- $S'(u)a(x, t, u, Du)$ identifies with $S'(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))$ a.e in Q . Since $|T_M(u)| \leq M$ a.e in Q and $S'(u) \in L^\infty(Q)$, we obtain from (18) and (22) that

$$S'(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u)) \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*).$$

- $S''(u)a(x, t, u, Du)Du$ identifies with $S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))DT_M(u)$ and

$$S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))DT_M(u) \in L^1(Q).$$

- $S'(u)H(x, t, u, Du)$ identifies with $S'(u)H(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))$ a.e in Q . Since $|T_M(u)| \leq M$ a.e in Q and $S'(u) \in L^\infty(Q)$, we obtain from (18) and (21) that

$$S'(u)H(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u)) \in L^1(Q).$$

- $S'(u)f$ belongs to $L^1(Q)$ while $S'(u)F$ belongs to $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$.
- $S''(u)FDu$ identifies with $S''(u)FDT_k(u)$ which belongs to $L^1(Q)$.

The above considerations show that equation (24) holds in $D'(Q)$ and that

$$\frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega, w^*)) + L^1(Q).$$

Due to the properties of S and (24), $\frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega, w^*)) + L^1(Q)$, which implies that $S(u) \in C^0([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ so that the initial condition (25) makes sense, since, due to the properties of S (increasing) and (17), we have

$$|B_S(x, r) - B_S(x, r')| \leq A_k(x) |S(r) - S(r')| \quad \text{for all } r, r' \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{26}$$

5 Existence Results

In this section we establish the following existence theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $f \in L^1(Q)$, $F \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$ and u_0 is a measurable function such that $b(x, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold true. Then, there exists at least a renormalized solution u of the problem (2) in the sense of Definition 4.1.*

Proof. Step 1: Approximate problem and a priori estimates.

For $n > 0$, let us define the following approximation of b, H, f and u_0 ;

$$b_n(x, r) = b(x, T_n(r)) + \frac{1}{n}r \quad \text{for } n > 0. \tag{27}$$

In view of (27), b_n is a Carathéodory function and satisfies (17), there exist $\lambda_n > 0$ and functions $A_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $B_n \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_n \leq \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| D_x \left(\frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_n(x)$$

a.e. in $\Omega, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$H_n(x, t, s, \xi) = \frac{H(x, t, s, \xi)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|H(x, t, s, \xi)|} \chi_{\Omega_n}.$$

Note that Ω_n is a sequence of compacts covering the bounded open set Ω and χ_{Ω_n} is its characteristic function.

$$f_n \in L^{p'}(Q), \quad \text{and} \quad f_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. in } Q \text{ and strongly in } L^1(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{28}$$

$$u_{0n} \in D(\Omega), \quad \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1} \leq \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1}, \tag{29}$$

$$b_n(x, u_{0n}) \rightarrow b(x, u_0) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and strongly in } L^1(\Omega). \tag{30}$$

Let us now consider the approximate problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)) + H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) &= f_n - \operatorname{div}(F) \quad \text{in } D'(Q), \\ u_n &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ b_n(x, u_n(t=0)) &= b_n(x, u_{0n}). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Note that $H_n(x, t, s, \xi)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$|H_n(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq H(x, t, s, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad |H_n(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq n.$$

For all $u, v \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Q H_n(x, t, u, Du)v \, dx \, dt \right| &\leq \left(\int_Q |H_n(x, t, u, Du)|^{q'} \sigma^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_Q |v|^q \sigma \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq n \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega_n} \sigma^{1-q'} \, dx \right)^{1/q'} dt \|v\|_{L^q(Q, \sigma)} \leq C_n \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $f_n \in L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$, proving existence of a weak solution $u_n \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$ of (31) is an easy task (see e.g. [15], [2]).

Let $\varphi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with $\varphi > 0$, choosing $v = \exp(G(u_n))\varphi$ as test function in (31) where $G(s) = \int_0^s \frac{g(r)}{\alpha} dr$ (the function g appears in (21)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) D(\exp(G(u_n))\varphi) \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_Q H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_Q f_n \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_Q F D(\exp(G(u_n))\varphi) \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (21) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \exp(G(u_n)) D\varphi \, dx \, dt \\ \leq \int_Q \gamma(x, t) \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q f_n \exp(G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_Q F \exp(G(u_n)) D\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q F D(\exp(G(u_n)))\varphi \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

for all $\varphi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with $\varphi > 0$.

On the other hand, taking $v = \exp(-G(u_n))\varphi$ as test function in (31) we deduce as in (32) that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(-G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \exp(-G(u_n)) D\varphi \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_Q \gamma(x, t) \exp(-G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt \geq \int_Q f_n \exp(-G(u_n))\varphi \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_Q F \exp(-G(u_n)) D\varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_Q F D(\exp(-G(u_n)))\varphi \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for all $\varphi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with $\varphi > 0$.

For every $\tau \in]0, T[$, let $\varphi = T_k(u_n)^+ \chi_{(0, \tau)}$ in (32) we have

$$\int_\Omega B_{k,G}^n(x, u_n(\tau)) \, dx + \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \exp(G(u_n)) DT_k(u_n)^+ \, dx \, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{Q_\tau} \gamma(x, t) \exp(G(u_n)) T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt + \int_{Q_\tau} f_n \exp(G(u_n)) T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt \\ &+ \int_Q FD(T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt + \int_Q FT_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) Du_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} dxdt \quad (34) \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega B_{k,G}^n(x, u_{0n}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{k,G}^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} T_k(s)^+ \exp(G(s)) ds$. Due to the definition of $B_{k,G}^n$ and $|G(u_n)| \leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right)$ we have

$$0 \leq \int_\Omega B_{k,G}^n(x, u_{0n}) dx \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (35)$$

Using (35), $B_{k,G}^n(x, u_n) \geq 0$, Young’s inequality and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \int_{Q_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)^+}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \quad (36) \\ &\leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + c \|F\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)}^{p'} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q_\tau} Fg(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) Du_n \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that, if we take $\varphi = \rho(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s) \chi_{\{s > 0\}} ds$ in (32) and using (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\int_\Omega B_g^n(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \alpha \int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_Q FDu_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \int_Q \left| FDu_n \right| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_g^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \rho(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$, which implies, since $B_g^n(x, r) \geq 0$ and Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \int_{\{u_n > 0\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +C_1 \|g\|_\infty \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N |F_i|^{p'} w_i^* dx dt \\
& + \frac{\alpha}{2p} \int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dx dt \\
& +C_2 \int_0^\infty g(s) ds \|g\|_\infty \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \int_Q |F|^{p'} w^* dx dt \\
& + \frac{\alpha}{2p} \int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\{u_n > 0\}} g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_3.$$

Similarly, let $\varphi = \int_{u_n}^0 g(s) \chi_{\{s < 0\}} ds$ as a test function in (33), we conclude that

$$\int_{\{u_n < 0\}} g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_4.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_Q g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \leq C_5. \quad (37)$$

where C_1, \dots, C_5 are constants independent of n . We deduce that

$$\int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)^+}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt \leq C_6 k. \quad (38)$$

Similarly to (38) we take $\varphi = T_k(u_n)^- \chi_{(0, \tau)}$ in (33) we deduce that

$$\int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)^-}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt \leq C_7 k. \quad (39)$$

Combining (38) and (39) we conclude that

$$\|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))}^p \leq C_8 k, \quad (40)$$

where C_6, C_7, C_8 are constants independent of n .

Then, $T_k(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))$, and $T_k(u_n)$ converges to v_k weakly in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))$, and by the compact imbedding (15) gives

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow v_k \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(Q, \sigma) \text{ and a.e. in } Q.$$

We deduce from the above inequalities (34), (35) and (40) that

$$\int_\Omega B_{k, G}^n(x, u_n(\tau)) dx \leq C k. \quad (41)$$

Let $k > 0$ be large enough and B_R be a ball of Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k \operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R} |T_k(u_n)| \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{B_R} |T_k(u_n)| \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_Q |T_k(u_n)|^p \sigma \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T \int_{B_R} \sigma^{1-p'} \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq T c_R \left(\int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c k^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) \leq \frac{c_1}{k^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (\operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T])) = 0.$$

Now we turn to prove the almost everywhere convergence of u_n and $b_n(x, u_n)$. Consider now a function non decreasing $g_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_k(s) = s$ for $|s| \leq \frac{k}{2}$ and $g_k(s) = k$ for $|s| \geq k$. Multiplying the approximate equation by $g'_k(u_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial B_k^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)g'_k(u_n)) + a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)g''_k(u_n)Du_n \\ &+ H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n)g'_k(u_n) = f_n g'_k(u_n) - \operatorname{div}(Fg'_k(u_n)) + Fg''_k(u_n)Du_n, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $B_k^n(x, z) = \int_0^z \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} g'_k(s) ds$.

As a consequence of (40), we deduce that $g_k(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w))$ and $\frac{\partial B_k^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$. Due to the properties of g_k and (17), we conclude that $\frac{\partial g_k(u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*))$, which implies that $g_k(u_n)$ is compact in $L^1(Q)$.

Hence Lemma 4.3 allows us to conclude that $g_k(u_n)$ is compact in $L^p_{loc}(Q, \sigma)$. Thus, for a subsequence, it also converges in measure and almost everywhere in Q (since we have, for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n - u_m| > \lambda\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) \leq \operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) \\ &+ \operatorname{meas}(\{|u_m| > k\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) + \operatorname{meas}(\{|g_k(u_n) - g_k(u_m)| > \lambda\}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then, there exist $k(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that,

$$\operatorname{meas}(\{|u_n - u_m| > \lambda\} \cap B_R \times [0, T]) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } n, m \geq n_0(k(\varepsilon), \lambda, R).$$

This proves that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence in measure in $B_R \times [0, T]$, thus converges almost everywhere to some measurable function u . Then for a subsequence denoted again u_n , we have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e in } Q, \quad (43)$$

and from (40) we deduce

$$b_n(x, u_n) \rightarrow b(x, u) \text{ a.e in } Q, \quad (44)$$

$$T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \text{ weakly in } L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w)) \quad (45)$$

and then, the compact imbedding (12) gives,

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } L^q(Q, \sigma) \text{ and a.e in } Q.$$

Which implies, by using (18), for all $k > 0$ that there exists a function $\Lambda_k \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$, such that

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup \Lambda_k \text{ weakly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*). \quad (46)$$

We now establish that $b(x, u)$ belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$. Using (43) and passing to the limit-inf in (41) as n tends to $+\infty$, we obtain that $\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} B_{k,G}(x, u(\tau)) dx \leq C$, for almost any τ in $(0, T)$. Due to the definition of $B_{k,G}(x, s)$ and the fact that $\frac{1}{k} B_{k,G}(x, u)$ converges pointwise to $\int_0^u \text{sgn}(s) \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \exp(G(s)) ds \geq |b(x, u)|$, as k tends to $+\infty$, shows that $b(x, u)$ belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let u_n be a solution of the approximate problem (31). Then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dx dt = 0. \quad (47)$$

Proof. Considering the following function $\varphi = T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))^+ = \alpha_m(u_n)$ in (32) this function is admissible since $\varphi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Then by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} B_{n,G}^m(x, u_n)(T) dx + \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |f_n| dx dt + \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |\gamma| dx dt + \int_{\{|u_{n0}| > m\}} |b_n(x, u_{n0})| dx \right] \\ & \quad + C_1 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N |F_i|^{p'} w_i^* dx dt + \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + C_2 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N |F_i|^{p'} w_i^* dx dt + C_3 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{n,G}^m(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \exp(G(s)) \alpha_m(s) ds$.

Using (20) and since $B_{n,G}^m(x, u_n)(T) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} (|f_n| + |\gamma|) dx dt + \int_{\{|u_{0n}| > m\}} |b_n(x, u_{0n})| dx \right] \\ & + C_4 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N |F_i|^{p'} w_i^* dx dt + C_5 \int_{\{u_n > m\}} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Take $\varphi = \rho_m(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s) \chi_{\{s > m\}} ds$ as test function in (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} B_m^n(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) \chi_{\{s > m\}} ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \right) \\ & \quad + \int_Q F Du_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) ds \right) \int_Q F Du_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_m^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \rho_m(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$, which implies, since $B_m^n(x, r) \geq 0$, (20) and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(p-1)}{p} \int_{\{u_n > m\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \tag{49} \\ & \leq \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \\ & \cdot \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C \|F\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)}^{p'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (49) and the strong convergence of f_n in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $b_n(x, u_{0n})$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma \in L^1(\Omega)$, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $F \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$, by Lebesgue's theorem, passing to the limit in (48), we conclude that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dx dt = 0. \tag{50}$$

On the other hand, let $\varphi = T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))^-$ as test function in (33) and reasoning as in the proof of (50) we deduce that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{-(m+1) \leq u_n \leq -m\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dx dt = 0. \tag{51}$$

Thus (47) follows from (50) and (51).

Step 2: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients.

This step is devoted to introduce for $k \geq 0$ a fixed time regularization of the function $T_k(u)$ in order to perform the monotonicity method. Let $\psi_i \in D(\Omega)$ be a sequence which converges strongly to u_0 in $L^1(\Omega)$. Set $w_\mu^i = (T_k(u))_\mu + e^{-\mu t} T_k(\psi_i)$ where $(T_k(u))_\mu$ is the mollification with respect to time of $T_k(u)$. Note that w_μ^i is a smooth function having the following properties:

$$\frac{\partial w_\mu^i}{\partial t} = \mu(T_k(u) - w_\mu^i), \quad w_\mu^i(0) = T_k(\psi_i), \quad |w_\mu^i| \leq k, \quad (52)$$

$$w_\mu^i \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{in } L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)), \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty. \quad (53)$$

We will introduce the following function of one real variable s , which is defined as:

$$h_m(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |s| \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{if } |s| \geq m+1, \\ m+1+|s|, & \text{if } m \leq |s| \leq m+1. \end{cases}$$

For $m > k$, let $\varphi = (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ and $\varphi \geq 0$, then taking this function in (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i \geq 0\}} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i \geq 0\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) D(T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & - \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \\ & \leq \int_Q (\gamma(x, t) + f_n) \exp(G(u_n)) (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q F Du_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i \geq 0\}} \exp(G(u_n)) F D(T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & - \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) F Du_n (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \right| \\ & \leq 2k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) F Du_n (T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \right|$$

$$\leq 2k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\|F\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Thanks to (47) the third integral and fourth integral of the right hand side tend to zero as n and m tend to infinity, and by Lebesgue’s theorem and $F \in \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$, we deduce that the right hand side converges to zero as n, m and μ tend to infinity. Since

$$(T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) \rightharpoonup (T_k(u) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u) \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(Q), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and strongly in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))$ and $(T_k(u) - w_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u) \rightarrow 0$ weakly* in $L^\infty(Q)$ and strongly in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w))$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon_l(n, m, \mu, i) : l = 1, \dots$, are various functions tending to zero as n, m, i and μ tend to infinity.

The very definition of the sequence w_μ^i makes it possible to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 For $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\int_{\{T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i \geq 0\}} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - w_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dxdt \geq \varepsilon(n, m, \mu, i). \quad (55)$$

Proof. (see [19]).

Similarly to [3, 4] for the second term of the left hand side of (54) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q [a(x, t, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u_n)) - a(x, t, T_k(u), DT_k(u))] \\ \times [DT_k(u_n) - DT_k(u)] dxdt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Which implies that

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w)) \quad \forall k. \quad (57)$$

Now, observe that we have, for every $\sigma > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} meas\{(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] : |Du_n - Du| > \sigma\} \leq meas\{(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] : |Du_n| > k\} \\ + meas\{(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] : |u| > k\} \\ + meas\{(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T] : |DT_k(u_n) - DT_k(u)| > \sigma\} \end{aligned}$$

then as a consequence of (57) we also have, that Du_n converges to Du in measure and therefore, always reasoning for subsequence,

$$Du_n \rightarrow Du \text{ a.e in } Q. \quad (58)$$

Which implies that

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_n), DT_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, T_k(u), DT_k(u)) \text{ in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*). \quad (59)$$

Step 3: Equi-integrability of the nonlinearity sequence.

We shall now prove that $H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, Du)$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$ by using Vitali's theorem. Since $H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, Du)$ a.e. in Q , consider now

$\varphi = \rho_h(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s)\chi_{\{s>h\}} ds$ as test function in (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} B_h^n(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_h^\infty g(s) \chi_{\{s>h\}} ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \right) \\ & \quad + \int_Q F Du_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\int_h^\infty g(s) \chi_{\{s>h\}} ds \right) \int_Q |F Du_n| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_h^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \rho_h(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$, which implies, since $B_h^n(x, r) \geq 0$, (20) and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(p-1)}{p} \int_{\{u_n>h\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_h^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \\ & \left(\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C \|F\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)}^{p'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{u_n>h\}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i g(u_n) dx dt = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{|u_n|>h\}} g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt = 0,$$

which implies, for h large enough and for a subset E of Q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{meas(E) \rightarrow 0} \int_E g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt & \leq \|g\|_\infty \lim_{meas(E) \rightarrow 0} \int_E \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial T_h(u_n)^+}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\{|u_n|>h\}} g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i dx dt \end{aligned}$$

then we deduce that $g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i$ is equi-integrable. Thus we have obtained that

$g(u_n) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i$ converge to $g(u) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$. Consequently, by

using (21), we conclude that

$$H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, Du) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q). \tag{60}$$

Step 4: In this step we prove that u satisfies (23).

Observe that for any fixed $m \geq 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n = \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) (DT_{m+1}(u_n) - DT_m(u_n)) \\ &= \int_Q a(x, t, T_{m+1}(u_n), DT_{m+1}(u_n)) DT_{m+1}(u_n) - \int_Q a(x, t, T_m(u_n), DT_m(u_n)) DT_m(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

According to (59) and (57), one is at liberty to pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for fixed $m \geq 0$ and to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n dxdt \\ &= \int_Q a(x, t, T_{m+1}(u), DT_{m+1}(u)) DT_{m+1}(u) dxdt - \int_Q a(x, t, T_m(u), DT_m(u)) DT_m(u) dxdt \\ &= \int_{\{m \leq |u| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u, Du) Du dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ in (61) and using the estimate (47) show that u satisfies (24).

Step 5: In this step we show that u satisfies (24) and (25). Let S be a function in $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that S' has a compact support. Let M be a positive real number such that $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$. Pointwise multiplication of the approximate equation (31) by $S'(u_n)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \text{div}[S'(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)] + S''(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, Du_n) Du_n \\ &+ S'(u_n)H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) = fS'(u_n) - \text{div}(FS'(u)) + S''(u)FDu \text{ in } D'(Q). \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

In what follows we pass to the limit as in (62) n tends to $+\infty$.

- Limit of $\frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$.

Since S is bounded and continuous, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e in Q implies that $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ converges to $B_S(x, u)$ a.e in Q and L^∞ weak-*. Then $\frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$ converges to $\frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t}$ in $D'(Q)$ as n tends to $+\infty$.

- Limit of $-\text{div}[S'(u_n)a_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n)]$.

Since $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$, we have for $n \geq M$

$$S'(u_n)a_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) = S'(u_n)a(x, t, T_M(u_n), DT_M(u_n)) \text{ a.e in } Q.$$

The pointwise convergence of u_n to u and (59) as n tends to $+\infty$ and the bounded character of S' permit us to conclude that

$$S'(u_n)a_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow S'(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u)) \text{ in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*), \tag{63}$$

as n tends to $+\infty$. $S'(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))$ has been denoted by $S'(u)a(x, t, u, Du)$ in equation (24).

- Limit of $S''(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)Du_n$.

As far as the 'energy' term

$$S''(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)Du_n = S''(u_n)a(x, t, T_M(u_n), DT_M(u_n))DT_M(u_n) \text{ a.e in } Q.$$

The pointwise convergence of $S'(u_n)$ to $S'(u)$ and (59) as n tends to $+\infty$ and the bounded character of S'' permit us to conclude that

$$S''(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, Du_n)Du_n \rightharpoonup S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))DT_M(u) \text{ weakly in } L^1(Q). \quad (64)$$

Recall that $S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), DT_M(u))DT_M(u) = S''(u)a(x, t, u, Du)Du$ a.e in Q .

- Limit of $S'(u_n)H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n)$.

Since $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$ and (60), we have

$$S'(u_n)H_n(x, t, u_n, Du_n) \rightarrow S'(u)H(x, t, u, Du) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q), \quad (65)$$

as n tends to $+\infty$.

- Limit of $S'(u_n)f_n$.

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e in Q , we have $S'(u_n)f_n \rightarrow S'(u)f$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

- Limit of $\text{div}(S'(u_n)F)$.

The fact that $S'(u_n)$ is bounded and converges to $S'(u)$ a.e in Q as n tends to $+\infty$ makes it possible to obtain that $\text{div}(S'(u_n)F) \rightarrow \text{div}(S'(u)F)$ strongly in $L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega, w^*))$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

- Limit of $S''(u_n)FDu_n$.

This term is equal to $FDS'(u_n)$. Since $DS'(u_n)$ converges to $DS'(u)$ weakly in $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(Q, w_i^*)$ as n tends to $+\infty$, we obtain $S''(u_n)FDu_n = FDS'(u_n) \rightharpoonup FDS'(u)$ weakly in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. The term $FDS'(u)$ identifies with $S''(u)FDu$.

As a consequence of the above convergence result, we are in a position to pass to the limit as n tends to $+\infty$ in equation (62) and to conclude that u satisfies (24). It remains to show that $B_S(x, u)$ satisfies the initial condition (25). To this end, firstly remark that, S being bounded, $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$. Secondly, (62) and the above considerations on the behavior of the terms of this equation show that $\frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + L^{p'}(0, T; W^{-1, p'}(\Omega, w^*))$. As a consequence, an Aubin's type lemma (see, e.g, [21]) implies that $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ lies in a compact set of $C^0([0, T], L^1(\Omega))$. It follows that on the one hand, $B_S^n(x, u_n)(t=0) = B_S^n(x, u_0^n)$ converges to $B_S(x, u)(t=0)$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. On the other hand, the smoothness of S implies that $B_S(x, u)(t=0) = B_S(x, u_0)$ in Ω . As a conclusion of step 1 to step 5, the proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.

6 Example

Let us consider the following special case: $b(x, s) = Z(x)C(s)$ where $Z \in W^{1, p}(\Omega, w)$, $Z(x) \geq \alpha > 0$ and $C \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\forall k > 0 : 0 < \lambda_k \equiv \inf_{|s| \leq k} C'(s)$ and $C(0) = 0$.

$$0 < \lambda_k \leq \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \nabla_x \left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_k(x) \quad \forall |s| \leq k, \quad (66)$$

$$H(x, t, s, \xi) = \frac{-2s}{1 + s^4} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p \quad \text{and} \quad a_i(x, t, s, d) = w_i(x) |d_i|^{p-2} d_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (67)$$

with $w_i(x)$ a weight function strictly positive. Then, we can consider the Hardy inequality in the form

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \sigma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega} |Du(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is easy to show that the $a_i(t, x, s, d)$ are Caratheodory functions satisfying the growth condition (18), the coercivity (20) and the monotonicity condition.

While the Carathéodory function $H(x, t, s, \xi)$ satisfies the condition (21), indeed

$$|H(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \frac{2|s|}{1+s^4} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p = g(s) \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x) |\xi_i|^p \quad \text{where} \quad g(s) = \frac{2|s|}{1+s^4}$$

is a function bounded positive continuous which belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $H(x, t, s, \xi)$ does not satisfy the sign condition (3) and the coercivity condition. In particular, let us use special weight function, w , expressed in terms of the distance to the bounded $\partial\Omega$. Denote $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and set $w(x) = d^\lambda(x)$, $\sigma(x) = d^\mu(x)$. Finally, the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b(x, u) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad T_k(u) \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega, w)), \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u, Du) Du dx dt = 0, \\ \frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} - \text{div} [S'(u)a(x, t, u, Du)] + S''(u) \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p, \\ - \frac{2u}{1 + u^4} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p S'(u) = fS'(u) - \text{div}(S'(u)F) + FS''(u)Du, \\ B_S(x, u)(t = 0) = B_S(x, u_0) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \forall S \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{with} \quad S' \quad \text{has a compact support in} \quad \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{and} \quad B_S(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b(x, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} S'(\sigma) d\sigma, \end{array} \right. \quad (68)$$

has at least one renormalised solution.

References

[1] Adams, R. *Sobolev Spaces*. AC, Press, New York, 1975.

- [2] Aharouch, L., Azroul, E. and Rhoudaf, M. Strongly nonlinear variational parabolic problems in weighted sobolev spaces. *The Australian journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **5** (2) (2008) 1–25.
- [3] Akdim, Y., Bennouna, J. and Mekkour, M. Solvability of degenerate parabolic equations without sign condition and three unbounded nonlinearities. *Electronic Journal of Diferetial Equations* (3) (2011) 1–25.
- [4] Akdim, Y., Bennouna, J., Mekkour, M. and Redwane, H. Existence of renormalized solutions for parabolic equations without the sign condition and with three unbounded nonlinearities. *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)* **39** (2012) 1–22.
- [5] Akdim, Y., Bennouna, J. and Mekkour, M. Renormalised solutions of nonlinear degenerated parabolic equations with natural growth terms and L^1 data. *International Journal of Evolution Equations* **5** (4) (2011) 421–446.
- [6] Azroul, E., Benboubker, M.B. and Ouaro, S. The Obstacle Problem Associated with Nonlinear Elliptic Equations in Generalized Sobolev Spaces. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* **14** (3) (2014) 224–243.
- [7] Boccardo, L., Giachetti, D., Diaz, J.-I. and Murat, F. Existence and Regularity of Renormalized Solutions of some Elliptic Problems involving derivatives of nonlinear terms. *Journal of Differential Equations* **106** (1993) 215–237.
- [8] Boccardo, L. and Murat, F. Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations. *Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A.* **19** (6) (1992) 581–597.
- [9] Dall’Aglia, A. and Orsina, L. Nonlinear parabolic equations with natural growth conditions and L^1 data. *Nonlinear Anal.* **27** (1996) 59–73.
- [10] Dal Maso, G. , Murat, F., Orsina, L. and Prignet, A. Definition and existence of renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **325** (1997) 481–486.
- [11] DiPerna, R.J. and Lions, P.-L. On the cauchy problem for Boltzman equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math.* **130** (2) (1989) 321–366.
- [12] Drabek, P. ,Kufner, A. and Nicolosi, F. Non linear elliptic equations, singular and degenerated cases. *University of West Bohemia*, (1996).
- [13] Kufner, A. *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*. John Wiley and Sons, (1985).
- [14] Landes, R. On the existence of weak solutions for quasilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect A* **89** (1981) 321–366.
- [15] Lions, J.-L. Quelques méthodes de résolution des problème aux limites non lineaires. *Dundo, Paris*, 1969. [Frensh]
- [16] Porretta, A. Existence results for strongly nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **177** (IV) (1999) 143–172.
- [17] Porretta, A. Nonlinear equations with natural growth terms and measure data. In: *EJDE, Conference 09, 2002*, pp. 183–202.
- [18] Rakotoson, J.M. Uniqueness of renormalized solutions in a T -set for L^1 data problems and the link between various formulations. *Indiana University Math. Jour.* **43** (2) (1994) 285–293.
- [19] Redwane, H. Existence of a solution for a class of parabolic equations with three unbounded nonlinearities. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **2** (2007) 241–264.
- [20] Redwane, H. Existence Results for a class of parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces , *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Diferential Equations* (2) (2010) 1–19.
- [21] Simon, J. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T, B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.* **146** (1987) 65–96.